The "Lid" in the *Streptococcus pneumoniae* SrtC1 Sortase Adopts a Rigid Structure that Regulates Substrate Access to the Active Site

Alex W. Jacobitz,^{†,§} Emmanuel B. Naziga,^{‡,§} Sung Wook Yi,[†] Scott A. McConnell,[†] Robert Peterson,[†] Michael E. Jung,[†] Robert T. Clubb,^{*,†} and Jeff Wereszczynski^{*,‡}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and the UCLA-DOE Institute of Genomics and Proteomics, University of California, Los Angeles, 611 Charles E. Young Drive East, Los Angeles, California 90095-1570, United States

[‡]Department of Physics and Center for Molecular Study of Condensed Soft Matter, Illinois Institute of Technology, 3440 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60616, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Many species of Gram-positive bacteria use sortase enzymes to assemble long, proteinaceous pili structures that project from the cell surface to mediate microbial adhesion. Sortases construct highly stable structures by catalyzing a transpeptidation reaction that covalently links pilin subunits together via isopeptide bonds. Most Gram-positive pili are assembled by class C sortases that contain a "lid", a structurally unique N-terminal extension that occludes the active site. It has been hypothesized that the "lid" in many sortases is mobile and thus capable of readily being displaced from the enzyme to facilitate substrate binding. Here, we show using NMR dynamics measurements, in vitro assays, and molecular dynamics simulations that the lid in the class C sortase from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (SrtC1) adopts a rigid conformation in solution that is devoid of large magnitude conformational excursions that occur on mechanistically relevant time scales. Additionally, we show that point mutations in the lid induce dynamic behavior that correlates with



increased hydrolytic activity and sorting signal substrate access to the active site cysteine residue. These results suggest that the lid of the *S. pneumoniae* SrtC1 enzyme has a negative regulatory function and imply that a significant energetic barrier must be surmounted by currently unidentified factors to dislodge it from the active site to initiate pilus biogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Gram-positive bacteria use class C sortases to construct pili, long filamentous protein fibers that project from the cell surface.^{1–3} These pili provide a preliminary point of attachment to host cells, acting as a grappling hook that allows the bacterium to swing closer to its target, thereby facilitating the formation of close-range adhesive interactions via auxiliary surface-anchored adhesive proteins.³ Pili also participate in immune system evasion,⁴ biofilm formation^{5,6} and have great potential as vaccine components due to their ability to elicit an immune response.^{7–9} These diverse roles have led to the recognition that pili function as key virulence factors in pathogenic microbes, making their assembly process an exciting new antibacterial target.^{3,10–13}

Pilus assembling class-C sortases are associated with the extracellular membrane and catalyze a transpeptidation reaction that covalently joins pilin subunits together via isopeptide bonds. In this reaction, the enzyme recognizes a five residue sorting signal motif that is located near the C-terminus of the pilin protein and is followed by a transmembrane helix and a positively charged cytoplasmic anchoring domain. This sorting signal is generally of the form LPXTG, where the Pro and Thr residues are the most highly conserved, X can be any amino acid, and the first and last residue often confer specificity to the individual sortase.^{14–16} The transpeptidation reaction involves

three steps. First, the sorting signal is recognized and noncovalently binds to the sortase enzymes. Second, an acylation step occurs during which the active site cysteine performs a nucleophilic attack on the threonine's carbonyl carbon and a semistable thioacyl intermediate is formed between the first substrate of catalysis and the sortase. Finally, a second pilin protein harboring an amino-nucleophile lysine side chain binds to the sortase, and a deacylation reaction occurs during which a new isopeptide bond is formed between the sorting signal threonine and the lysine side chain in the second pilin protein.^{15,2,3,8} Repetition of this process results in the growth of the pilus shaft, which can extend more than a micrometer from the bacteria.^{3,17} The pilus biosynthesis reaction is complex, as many pili contain specialized tip proteins that mediate adhesion as well as base proteins whose incorporation into the growing shaft terminates assembly by promoting pilus attachment to the cell wall.³ Moreover, bacteria frequently display more than one type of pilus, each of which is expressed on gene clusters that can encode two or three pilin

Special Issue: J. Andrew McCammon Festschrift

Received:February 26, 2016Revised:April 21, 2016Published:April 24, 2016



Figure 1. *S. pneumoniae* SrtC1 structure and lid motif. (A) Cartoon representation of SrtC1 from PDB 2W1J.¹⁸ Active site His, Cys, Arg, and lid Trp residues shown as sticks. N-terminal extension preceding the sortase β -barrel core is colored red. (B) Transparent surface representation of SrtC1 showing the lid occluding the active site. (C) Magnified SrtC1 active site showing conserved DP(F/W/Y) lid motif in the active site as sticks.

proteins and one to three sortases. The molecular basis through which these sortase enzymes function to nonredundantly assemble pili remains largely unknown.

Class C sortases are unique among the sortase superfamily in that they contain an N-terminal extension known as a "lid" that completely occludes the active site $^{15,18-20}$ (Figure 1). This lid has been found in all class C sortases studied to date and invariably maintains a conserved DP(F/W/Y) anchor motif wherein the aspartate residue forms a hydrogen bond with the active site arginine, and the aromatic residue at the end of the motif is wedged into the active site where it forms a sulfuraromatic interaction with the active site cysteine.²⁰ Based on the fact that the lid blocks access to the sorting signal binding site seen in all structures reported to date, $^{21-23}$ and that several class C sortase crystal structures are either missing electron density²⁴⁻²⁷ or have elevated B-factors throughout this region,^{18–20} it has been proposed that the lid region of these sortases must be highly flexible in solution (see Table S1 for a comparison of these structures).^{15,18–20,26,28} However, to the best of our knowledge, the flexibility of the lid in solution has never been directly investigated using computational or experimental methods.

The class C sortases of Streptococcus pneumoniae are of particular biological and pharmaceutical interest. S. pneumoniae is one of the most common causative agents of multiple diseases, including bacterial pneumonia, sepsis, and meningitis,²⁹⁻³¹ and although not all serotypes rely on pili, pneumococci clones that are nonsusceptible to penicillin have an increased reliance on these structures for their virulence.^{10,32} S. pneumoniae can express two distinct types of pili carried on separate genomic islands, known as pilus island 1 (PI-1) and pilus island 2 (PI-2).³³ PI-1 is present in ~30% of S. pneumoniae strains, and these pili have been more thoroughly characterized. They consist of three pilus proteins, RrgA, RrgB, and RrgC, which are polymerized by three sortases, SpnSrtC1, SpnSrtC2, and SpnSrtC3. Structures for each of these sortases have been solved, and they are all nearly identical and show that the conserved lid occludes the active site.^{18,24} Mutations to the anchor residues in the lid of SpnSrtC1 have been shown to decrease the thermal stability of the enzyme in vitro¹⁹ as well as alter the pattern of, but not abrogate, the production of polymerized RrgB species in vitro.¹⁸

Here, we use experimental and computational techniques to show that, despite the generally accepted hypothesis that sortase lids are flexible in solution, the lid of *S. pneumoniae* SrtC1 (hereafter referred to as SrtC1) adopts a rigid structure in vitro that maintains the enzyme in an inactive state. Mutations to the anchor residues of the lid increase its flexibility and dramatically increase the rate of in vitro hydrolysis of a sorting signal, as well as the susceptibility of the active site cysteine to oxidation. Simulation and NMR results provide insight into the mechanism of lid opening, and reveal two dynamic flanking regions that may function as hinges. Our results substantiate the proposed negative regulatory function of the lid in pilus biogenesis and further suggest that additional factors associated with the microbial surface are likely needed to activate the enzyme by dislodging its structurally rigid lid.

METHODS

Experimental Procedures. Cloning, Protein Expression, and Purification. DNA encoding codon-optimized soluble SrtC1, residues 17–228 based on uniprot sequence Q97SB9, was generated by recursive PCR from overlapping primers.³⁴ D58A, W60A, D58A/W60A double mutant, and GSlid mutants of SrtC1 were generated by site directed mutagenesis using standard procedures. ¹⁵N- and ¹³C-labeled variants were produced by expressing the proteins in M9 minimal media supplemented with ¹⁵N NH₄Cl and/or ¹³C glucose. Proteins were expressed and purified by IMAC using HisPure Co²⁺ resin (Thermo) per the manufacturer's instructions.

NMR Spectroscopy. All protein samples used for NMR experiments were concentrated and dialyzed into NMR buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.5, 50 mM NaCl, 10% D₂O). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 500, 600, and 800 MHz spectrometers equipped with triple resonance cryogenic probes and processed using NMRPipe.³⁵ Chemical shift assignments were obtained through the analysis of HNCO, HN(CA)CO, HNCA, HNCACB, CBCA(CO)NH, CC(CO)NH, and HBHANH experiments in CARA 1.9.0.36 Ninety-four percent of backbone resonances could be definitively assigned using standard triple resonance assignment methods, leaving a few one-to-two residue unassigned segments, and a single seven residue stretch of amino acids (residues Q167-E172), which could not be assigned. An analysis of chemical shifts was used to define the location of the secondary structural elements, which were found to be similar to those present in the previously reported crystal structure. Dilution experiments to investigate the potential for SrtC1 to dimerize were performed by collecting ¹⁵N-HSQC spectra beginning at 2 mM and diluting the protein serially with NMR buffer to 1.5, 1, 1.5, 0.5, 0.25, 0.2, and 0.1 mM. Dynamics experiments were conducted



Figure 2. NMR dynamics of SrtC1. A diagram of secondary structure elements is shown at the top of the figure, where helices are represented as cylinders and β -strands as arrows with the lid region colored red. (A) Residue-specific tumbling time (τ_e) for each assigned residue in SrtC1 with dynamic regions of the lid indicated by green arrows. The average for the structured region of the protein is plotted as a blue line. (B) Heteronuclear NOE for each residue in SrtC1. A red line is shown at a HetNOE value of 0.6. A HetNOE value below this line indicates that the residue can be considered dynamic. (C) R_{ex} component of relaxation as determined by direct CPMG detection plotted for each residue.

on 1 mM ¹⁵N-labeled samples in NMR buffer as described above. Heteronuclear NOE and T_1 and T_2 experiments were conducted at 298 K and analyzed in SPARKY.³⁷ The assigned backbone chemical shifts of SrtC1 have been deposited in the Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank (BMRB ID: 26745).

In Vitro Cleavage Assay. SrtC1 and mutants were dialyzed into assay buffer (25 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl). Sorting signal-containing peptide NH2-VNKKITIPQTGGI-GT-CO2 was synthesized by LifeTein and used without further purification. Lyophilized peptide was dissolved in assay buffer to 2 mM, and the final concentration was verified using a BCA assay kit (Thermo) per the manufacturer's instructions. Reactions were conducted with 100 μ M enzyme and 200 μ M peptide in a total volume of 100 µL at 37 °C. After 24 h, the reactions were quenched by the addition of 50 μ L of 1 M HCl, and 100 μ L of the reaction mixture was injected onto a Waters XSelect HSS C₁₈ reversed-phase HPLC column. Peptides were eluted with a gradient from 5 to 30% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid over 20 min. Elution and quantification of peptides was conducted by monitoring absorbance of the peptide bond at 215 nm. Fractions from peaks corresponding to substrate and product were collected and their identities confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS).

Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Conventional Molecular Dynamics (cMD) Calculations. The initial coordinates for SrtC1 were obtained from the protein data bank (PDB) 2W1J structure.¹⁸ Hydrogen atoms were added using the xLeap module of the AMBER software suite,³⁸ followed by solvation with a box of TIP3P³⁹ water molecules such that there was a minimum distance of 10 Å between the protein atoms and the surface of the box. Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions were then added at random positions in the box to neutralize the system and bring the salt concentration to 150 mM, resulting in an orthorhombic box containing approximately 30,000 atoms. The same preparatory steps were applied to create models of the W60A, D58A, D58A/W60A, GSlid, and D58G/W60G mutants. The AMBER ff14SB force field⁴⁰ parameters were used for all protein models. Subsequently, two stages of minimization, NVT and NPT equilibrations, were carried out to prepare the systems for production simulations. Time steps of 1.0 and 2.0 fs were used during the equilibration and production stages, respectively. The SHAKE algorithm⁴¹ was used to fix bonds involving hydrogens to their equilibrium values. A 10 Å cutoff was used for van der Waals interactions, and long-range electrostatic interactions were modeled with particle mesh Ewald (PME) summation⁴² using a 1.0 Å grid.^{6,7} The PMEMD module of the AMBER software suite with GPU acceleration was used to carry out the MD calculations.^{43,44}

Replica-Exchange Molecular Dynamics (REMD) Calculations. REMD calculations⁴⁵ were implemented using 32 replicas with temperatures ranging from 300 to 380 K that were distributed using the method developed by van der Spoel and co-workers.⁴⁶ Exchange attempts were made every 125 MD steps, whereas all other simulation parameters were the same as those of the cMD calculations. A 200 ns per replica calculation was carried out for SrtC1 and D58G/W60G, leading to a total simulation time of 6.4 μ s per protein.

Umbrella Sampling Calculations. Umbrella sampling calculations were implemented to quantify the energetics of lid opening with a reaction coordinate defined as a collective variable based on a full correlation analysis⁴⁷ (FCA) of the REMD trajectories of the WT and D58G/W60G mutant.47 The first FCA mode, which described the lid opening process, was sampled between -10.0 and 28.0 Å using 20 windows and a 2.0 kcal mol⁻¹ $Å^{-2}$ force constant. A 100 ns calculation was implemented per window for each system, resulting in 2.0 μ s total sampling per protein with the first 40 ns of each window discarded as equilibration. The weighed histogram analysis method (WHAM), as implemented in the program by Dr. Grossfield,⁴⁸ was applied to obtain the potential of mean force (PMF) of lid opening. The colvar module⁴⁹ of the NAMD⁵⁰ software was used for all umbrella sampling simulations with the same force field and simulation parameters as were used for cMD calculations in AMBER.

RESULTS

NMR and MD Results Indicate that the SrtC1 Lid is Not Dynamic in Vitro. NMR Measurements. NMR experiments were conducted to determine whether the lid of SrtC1 is mobile in solution. Initially, $^{15}\mathrm{N}$ T $_1$, T $_2$, and HetNOE experiments were performed to measure the relaxation properties of backbone amide nitrogens on ps-ns time scales. T_1 and T_2 results were used to estimate the rotational correlation time, $\tau_{\rm e^{\prime}}$ for each backbone amide residue in the protein (Figure 2). Residues that sample disordered states are expected to have a τ_e value lower than the protein's overall rotational correlation time, τ_{c} (Figure 2A, blue line) because they can move through space more rapidly than the protein body can. In the crystal structure of SrtC1, the lid spans residues 50-72 and interacts with the active site via the anchor residues D58 and W60. The lid also contains a short helix formed by residues 61-66 that packs against helix H6 that is positioned adjacent to the active site. Surprisingly, lid residues D58 and W60, which contact the active site, have τ_e values of 16.4 and 16.9 ns, respectively, which are similar to the estimated value of $\tau_c = 17.8 \pm 1.1$ ns for the protein core, whereas regions immediately before and after these residues have reduced τ_e values. This is in agreement with the HetNOE data collected at a ¹⁵N field strength of 60.8 MHz for which values above 0.6 indicate residues that are structured in solution, whereas those with values below 0.6 are considered disordered (Figure 2B, red line). Notably, residues 56-57 and 65-70, which are located immediately before and after the portion of the lid that contacts the active site, show increased motions on this time scale, indicating that they have elevated mobility. In contrast, the lid residues between these segments (residues 58-64) that contain the active site contacting D58 and W60 residues have HetNOE values above 0.6 and τ_e values similar to residues involved in regular secondary structure elements, indicating that they adopt a more rigid state.



Figure 3. Dynamical characteristics of wild-type SrtC1 obtained from 1000 ns cMD simulations including (A) root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) of the C_{α} atoms, (B) root-mean-square deviations of the C_{α} atoms from their crystal structure positions, (C) distance between the CZ atom of R202 and the CG atom of residue 58 (salt bridge distance), and (D) the distance between the SG atom of residue C193 and the CD2 atom of residue W60 (sulfur–aromatic interaction).

Additional experiments were conducted to determine if the lid exhibits large amplitude motions that occur more slowly on the μ s-ms time scale. To measure this phenomenon, we first conducted CPMG experiments⁵¹ to directly detect the R_{ex} component of relaxation on a per residue basis (Figure 2C). R_{ex} values are generally considered to be an indicator of slower time scale motions if they are greater than $\sim 30\%$ of the measured T₂ for an individual residue, which typically means values above 10 s⁻¹ are considered significant.⁵² Residues in and around the lid region did not show significantly elevated R_{ex} values, indicating that they are not mobile on the μ s-ms time scale. Moreover, the results of chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) experiments did not reveal the presence of "invisible" excited, less-populated protein state(s) that are in slow exchange with a more abundant ground-state conformation (data not shown).⁵² This indicates that the lid is likely closed in >99% of the protein in solution, given that CPMG and CEST experiments are capable of detecting excited states with fractional populations as low as 0.5% of the total protein in solution.⁵² Collectively, the NMR data and crystal structure indicate that the lid region adopts a closed and rigid state, and that it is the predominant form of the free enzyme in solution. However, residues immediately preceding and following the anchoring residues in the lid have slightly elevated mobility,

Article



Figure 4. NMR shows SrtC1 mutants have more dynamic lids. A diagram of secondary structure elements is shown at the top, where helices are represented as cylinders and β -strands as arrows with the lid region highlighted in red. (A) Residue-specific tumbling time (τ_e) for each assigned residue in SrtC1-W60A. (B) Heteronuclear NOE for each residue in SrtC1-W60A. The red line at 0.6 indicates the cutoff below which residues are considered disordered. (C) Residue-specific tumbling time (τ_e) for each assigned residue in SrtC1-D58A. (D) Heteronuclear NOE for each residue in SrtC1-D58A. The red line at 0.6 indicates the cutoff below which residues are considered disordered. (E) Comparison of NOESY spectra for the W60 indole proton for the WT SrtC1 protein (left) or three possible indole configurations seen in the D58A mutant represented by the three strips on the right.

suggesting that they may potentially function as hinge points that undergo motions that dislodge the lid from the active site.

Molecular Modeling. SrtC lid dynamics were further characterized through a series of molecular modeling calculations. Initially, a 1.0 μ s conventional molecular dynamics (cMD) simulation was performed, and both the root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of heavy atoms from the crystal structure and root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) of the C_{α} atoms were computed to quantify the dynamics (Figure 3).

Over the course of the simulation, the protein remained structurally close to the starting X-ray structure with a maximum RMSD of ~2.7 Å. Additionally, the D58-R202 distance, which measures contact between the anchor residue in the lid and the active site, remained at 4.0 \pm 0.1 Å for the duration of the 1.0 μ s cMD calculation (Figure 3C), indicating a strong salt bridge between both residues. Furthermore, W60 and C193 stayed in close proximity to one another (W60-C193 = 4.1 \pm 0.5 Å), allowing for a T-shaped interaction for most of the cMD calculation (Figure 3D). However, slightly higher RMSF values were calculated for the regions around the anchoring residues D58 and W60, which were experimentally observed to be more dynamic based on their decreased

HetNOEs and τ_e values (Figure 2). High RMSF values were also observed for a section of the $\beta 5/\beta 6$ loop (residues 134– 149), which showed slightly elevated B-factors in the 2W1J crystal structure, although this was not corroborated by our NMR data.

The cMD calculations revealed that several portions of SrtC are flexible, including portions of the lid region. However, largescale dynamics, such as a hypothetical lid opening motion, may not occur over the course of a 1.0 μ s cMD simulation. Therefore, replica-exchange molecular dynamics (REMD) calculations were performed to enhance sampling beyond the μ s time scale. In REMD, several copies of the same system are concurrently simulated at different temperatures to facilitate the crossing of energy barriers.⁴⁵ REMD calculations covering temperatures from 300 to 380 K were performed and analyzed in the same manner as the cMD simulations above (see SI for details). Overall, REMD results were similar to those from cMD simulations. In particular, the D58-R202 salt-bridge and W60-C193 separation distances were 4.0 \pm 0.2 Å and 4.5 \pm 0.6 Å, respectively, suggesting that interactions that anchor the lid into the active site are robust over long time scales. Taken together, our NMR and MD experiments indicate that the SrtC1 lid is not dynamic in solution, as has been previously suggested, and is instead stabilized by strong lid/active site interactions that keep it largely structured in the absence of additional factors, even out to very long time scales.

Mutations in the Lid Increase Its Flexibility. It has previously been shown that the thermostability of SrtC1 is lowered by mutations to the conserved Asp and Trp residues in the DP(F/W/Y) lid motif.¹⁹ In addition, analogous mutations in the lid of *Streptococcus agalactiae* sortase C-1 increase the rate at which it catalyzes pilin polymerization and sorting signal hydrolysis.^{53,54} These results suggest that mutations to the SrtC1 lid may destabilize its structure and thereby promote substrate access to the active site. To investigate this, NMR and computational methods were used to study the effects of D58A and W60A mutations on SrtC1 lid dynamics.

As compared to the wild-type enzyme, the lid in the W60A mutant has significantly increased mobility. Experimentally derived $\tau_{\rm e}$ values indicate that this region tumbles at 10.7 ns, 5.2 ns faster than the average for the structured portion of this enzyme (Figure 4). HetNOE data confirms that these residues are largely disordered; every residue that could be assigned and characterized between the two potential hinge points (residues 58-64) had reduced HetNOE values compared to those of WT with many having values below 0.6. cMD simulations on the W60A mutant also showed an increase in dynamics relative to the those of the WT enzyme. As with the WT enzyme, CPMG and CEST experiments performed with the W60A mutant showed no evidence of longer time scale dynamics that would indicate the presence of slower concerted motions associated with lid opening (data not shown). Furthermore, for all mutants, lower hetNOE values were observed, consistent with the lid in these proteins undergoing increased motions on the picosecond time scale. In particular, the average RMSF values for the anchoring residues 58-60 were measured to be 1.5 Å, which is higher than the value of 0.7 Å that was observed for WT (Figure 5). Additionally, W60A had RMSD values for the lid region of ~4.5 Å relative to the X-ray structure, whereas WT had an overall lid RMSD of ~1.0 Å (Figure 6).

NMR and simulation data also show that the lid in the SrtC1-D58A mutant has significantly increased lid mobility as compared to that of the wild-type protein (Figures 4-6). Examination of the cMD trajectory of D58A showed that the W60 moiety moves from its crystal structure position and docks into a hydrophobic nook in the active site groove where it is surrounded by residues V36, L93, F157, and L160 (Figure S2). The unique molecular environment experienced by W60 in this mutant is illustrated by the contact histograms for the D58A and WT proteins, which show the total contact fractions between W60 side chain atoms and neighboring residues (Figure 7). Notably, in the D58A mutant, W60 is no longer in regular contact with the catalytic cysteine but is in more frequent contact with R202 due to the broken salt bridge interaction. This finding is compatible with the NOESY data of the D58A mutant, which reports on the local environment of the W60 indole proton. In the 3D ¹H-¹⁵N NOESY HSQC spectrum of the wild-type protein, numerous cross-peaks are observed to this atom consistent with the W60 side chain residing within the active site of the enzyme as observed in the crystal structure (in the NOESY spectrum, cross-peaks to the indole proton occur if it is within \sim 5 Å of another proton). In contrast, in the NOESY spectrum of the D58A mutant, only intraresidue NOE cross-peaks are observed, suggesting that the indole ring does not adopt a stable state within the active site.



Figure 5. Root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) of the C_{α} atoms of SrtC1 show that the lid region of the wild-type protein is more rigid in comparison with those of the mutants discussed in this study. The lid region of the D58G/W60G and GSlid mutants adopt particularly open conformations with RMSF values around 6 Å.



Figure 6. Root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of the C_{α} atoms of the lid region (residues 50–70) for all proteins considered in this study. All mutants show elevated values compared to those of the wild-type with more pronounced deviations for D58G/W60G and GSlid as seen in the RMSF plots.

This is further supported by the ${}^{1}H{-}{}^{15}N$ HSQC spectrum of the D58A protein, as several cross-peaks in the spectrum that presumably correspond to the indole proton are present, all of which exhibit narrower linewidths than the indole signal in the



Figure 7. Total of all contact fractions between atoms in the side chain of residue W60 of D58A compared to that of SrtC1. The side chain is docked into a hydrophobic groove formed by residues upstream from the active site leading to a different molecular environment compared to its conformation in the wild-type enzyme.

spectrum of the wild-type protein. Together, both the experimental and computational results demonstrate that although portions of the lid in the D58A mutant appear as rigid as that of the WT enzyme, the conformation of this entire region has been altered significantly, and the native, closed state of the lid has been disrupted.

More substantial alterations in the lid were investigated using cMD simulations. These included both D58A/W60A and D58G/W60G double mutant proteins, as well as a mutant where residues 55-69 of the lid were replaced with a 15 residue glycine-serine linker (SrtC1-GSlid). Each mutant showed an increase in lid fluctuations relative to that of the wild-type. In particular, RMSF values were higher for these mutants than the WT, particularly for the anchor residues (Figure 5). The D58G/W60G and GSlid mutants had the most mobile lids with RMSF values over 5.0 Å and lid RMSDs over 6.0 Å for both systems (Figure 6 and Figure S3). Examination of these simulations showed that the lid adopted an open state with the active site groove exposed to solution (Figure 8). Meanwhile, the D58A/W60A simulation exhibited dynamics that were similar to the previously discussed W60A and D58A single mutants with the lid having increased dynamics to the WT but not fully opening as in the D58G/W60G and GSlid systems.

Mutations in the Lid Increase Enzyme Activity and Substrate Access. Having rigorously established that SrtC1 lid mutations increase its mobility, we set out to determine whether these alterations also facilitated sorting signal binding and catalysis as might be expected if the native lid negatively regulates enzyme activity. Previous studies have reported that SrtC1 can polymerize RrgB in vitro.^{18,19} However, for unknown reasons, our repeated attempts to reproduce this activity proved unsuccessful (data not shown). We therefore tested SrtC1's activity with an in vitro hydrolysis assay that monitored the



Figure 8. Open (left) and closed (right) conformations of the lid observed in cMD calculations of the GS-linker mutant of SrtC1.

ability of the enzyme to cleave a 15 amino acid peptide that is derived from the RrgB protein and contains the IPQTG sorting signal recognized by this sortase. This assay reports on the first step of pilin assembly: nucleophilic attack of the sortase cysteine thiol on the Thr carbonyl carbon atom within the IPQTG motif. In the assay, the enzyme and peptide are incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, and then the reaction components are separated by HPLC. This enables the progress of the reaction to be determined by monitoring both the amount of cleaved product peptide formed as well as the disappearance of the substrate peptide. The assay was used to measure the activities of wild-type SrtC1 and proteins containing D58A, W60A, C193A, D58A/W60A, and GSlid mutations. The GSlid construct was used as an approximation of a completely open lid because SrtC1 mutants that removed the entire N-terminal extension that contains the lid aggregated in solution and could not be assayed.

The assay data shown in Figure 9 demonstrate that lid mutations that destabilize the lid structure increase the



Figure 9. SrtC1 lid mutants are more active in vitro. Products formed after 24 h reactions with IPQTG-containing peptide are shown for WT and various SrtC1 mutant constructs. * indicates no product could be detected by HPLC or MALDI. p < 0.01 for each mutant compared to WT, except C193A.

enzyme's ability to cleave the sorting signal. No detectable product was observed when the wild-type enzyme was incubated with IPQTG-containing peptide (Figure 9). As expected, a negative control C193A mutant that lacks the catalytic cysteine was also inactive. In contrast, sortase enzymes containing mutations that destabilize lid contacts to the active site were enzymatically active and catalyzed peptide hydrolysis. The activities of all of the mutants were nearly identical (within the error of the measurement) except for the D58A mutant,

which was clearly less active (p < 0.01 vs W60A or W60A/ D58A mutants, p < 0.05 vs SrtC1-GSlid). The lower activity of D58A could be due to the partial occlusion of the active site by the lid, which is compatible with the results of MD simulations that indicate that the lid in D58A is less mobile than in the W60A mutant (Figure 5).

The elevated hydrolytic activity of lid mutant proteins suggests that the lid in the wild-type enzyme may negatively regulate enzymatic activity by obstructing the binding site for the sorting signal. To investigate this, we performed disulfide bonding experiments utilizing a modified Cbz-IPQT* sorting signal substrate, where Cbz is a carbobenzyloxy-protecting group and T* is a modified thiol-containing threonine residue ((2R,3S)-3-amino-4-mercapto-2-butanol) that can form a disulfide bond with the active site cysteine. In other sortases, this type of peptide analogue has been successfully used to produce stable enzyme-substrate complexes.²¹⁻²³ When incubated with the IPQT* substrate at room temperature for 24 h, the WT SrtC1 showed no detectable disulfide formation by MALDI-MS (Figure S4). When the same experiment was repeated with the W60A mutant, a mass shift of 595 Da (theoretical mass of Cbz-IPQT* = 593.4 Da) was detected, indicating the formation of a disulfide-bonded complex. The difference in cysteine availability highlighted by the results of this experiment indicate that the lid in WT SrtC1 physically limits sorting signal access to the active site cysteine.

The Thermodynamics of Lid Opening Correlate with SrtC1 Catalytic Activity. In light of the experimental and computational findings described above, umbrella sampling calculations were performed to quantify the effects of mutations on the free energy of lid opening. The reaction coordinate for these simulations was defined by a full correlation analysis (FCA) of C_{α} atoms in the WT and D58G/W60G REMD calculations (see Methods and SI for details). Specifically, a collective variable was defined based upon a projection of the system on the first FCA mode (FCA 1). This reaction coordinate primarily describes the conformational transition between a closed and an open lid with projections around -6 Å corresponding to a closed state and values above 15 Å to an open state (see Movie S1).

Projections of the WT, GSlid, and all alanine mutant cMD trajectories on FCA 1 revealed similar dynamical information as outlined above (Figure S5) and demonstrate that this coordinate can reliably measure lid opening. For example, the WT mostly samples trajectories with FCA 1 values of approximately -6 Å (closed states), whereas the GSlid mutant evolves over time from a closed to an open (FCA 1 > 15 Å) conformation. As was observed in the RMSD and RMSF comparisons, W60A, D58A, and D58A/W60A were intermediate between the WT and GSlid, indicating they preferentially sample conformations between the open and closed states.

The potentials of mean force (PMFs) demonstrate that the WT lid samples only the closed state and that lid mutations significantly reduce the free energy cost of lid opening (Figure 10). The WT PMF has a single minimum in the region of -6 Å, whereas conformations with an FCA 1 projection >15 Å have free energies on the order of 20 kcal/mol. In contrast, and in line with the MD data described above, the open conformations of the GSlid mutant were easily accessible, requiring less than 3 kcal/mol of energy to sample states with FCA 1 > 15 Å. Opening of the D58A and D58A/W60A mutants required considerably less free energy than the WT



Figure 10. Potential of mean force (PMF) for lid opening for SrtC1 and its mutants. Regions corresponding to open, semiopen, and closed states are indicated with dashed lines. It can be seen that WT SrtC1 requires the highest free energy to access semiopen and open states.

(under 10 kcal/mol for FCA 1–15 Å), whereas the W60A mutant required slightly higher energies at ~12 kcal/mol. In addition to modifying the free energies of the closed and open lid states, mutants affect the thermodynamics of intermediate "semi-open" conformations. For D58A/W60A and W60A, the PMFs show minima at around 2–3 Å with access to FCA 1 ~6 Å (partially open lid) requiring on the order of 1.5 kcal/mol of free energy. The minimum for D58A is also located at a value corresponding to a more open structure (approximately –1.0 Å) compared to that of WT.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The ability of class C sortases to catalyze the covalent linkage between protein substrates, instead of attaching target proteins to the cell wall, makes them unique among the sortase family of enzymes.¹⁵ Class C sortases are structurally unique in that they contain an N-terminal polypeptide segment that precedes the catalytic domain. This extension projects into the active site and has been termed the lid.^{15,18–20} It has been generally thought that the lid structure is flexible and thus capable of transiently being displaced from the active site. This hypothesis was based on several crystal structures of class C enzymes that frequently have elevated B-factors or are missing electron density for the backbone atoms in portions of the lid. In addition, multiple studies have shown that proteins in which the lid is removed or mutated have elevated enzymatic activity, suggesting that the lid may negatively regulate activity when it interacts with the enzyme's active site and that lid removal is necessary for substrates to access the active site.^{15,18-20,26} However, the dynamics of the lid, and how it participates in substrate binding, had not been directly determined.

Here, we systematically investigated the dynamics and function of the lid in the *S. pneumonia* SrtC1 protein using

biochemical, NMR, and MD methods. Surprisingly, our NMR results indicate that, in the wild-type enzyme, residues in the lid that contact the active site adopt stable, largely immobile conformations. In this rigid state, the enzyme is inactive and incapable of interacting with its sorting signal substrate. Conventional MD and REMD calculations of the wild-type confirm the rigid structure of the lid, which is held tightly over the active site by both a salt-bridge between D58 and R202 as well as an aromatic-sulfur interaction between W60 and C193. Umbrella sampling calculations show that the free energy of lid opening is prohibitive in the WT enzyme and that, on its own, SrtC1 will not sample states with an open lid. In addition, both NMR and computational results support the hypothesis that the N-terminal extension contains "hinges," an idea that was originally proposed by Manzano and co-workers based on elevated B-factors in the SrtC3 crystal structure for the two discrete regions that flank the lid.¹⁶ This hypothesis is further supported by the significant number of SrtC structures solved to date wherein these regions either showcase the highest Bfactors in the structure or lack density altogether.¹⁸

Our results indicate that mutations that increase lid flexibility improve the enzyme's ability to interact with the sorting signal and to perform the first step of catalysis. For a series of lid mutants, we characterized the dynamic properties, sorting signal accessibility, and the catalytic activity of the enzyme to perform the initial cleavage step in the transpeptidation reaction in vitro. Only when mutations to the lid are introduced that increase lid flexibility, as indicated by reduction in both the τ_e and HetNOE and an increase in RMSD and RMSF values for these residues (Figures 4 and 5), does the enzyme catalyze the initial reaction step in vitro (Figure 9). The same motioninducing mutations are also required to grant access to the cysteine for the production of a disulfide bond, which would not require access to the rest of the binding site or participation from other active site residues (Figure S4). This indicates that rigidity of the WT lid prevents hydrolysis of the enzyme's native substrate in solution and does so by completely preventing access to the active site cysteine instead of simply inactivating the enzyme via conformational manipulation or obstruction of individual recognition pockets in the binding site

The mechanisms through which the lid may be dislodged from the active site were investigated using MD simulations. The data show that breaking the D58-R202 and W60-C193 interactions via mutations to either alanine or glycine residues allows the lid region to adopt a variety of conformational states, many of which are open. Completely open lid structures resemble the conformation shown in Figure 8 with the lid region rolled back from the active site. The mutants with more extensive mutations, such as GSlid, transition to a majority of open states, whereas single mutants, such as D58A, have partially open lids. These motions are compatible with the NMR relaxation data, as the lid opens at residues 56-57 and 60-65. These sites function as hinges for lid displacement and exhibited slightly elevated mobility in the wild-type enzyme, suggesting that they are primed to undergo conformational change. In addition, free energy calculations quantitatively demonstrate that mutant proteins access conformations with the lid open more frequently than the wild-type (Figures 5, 6, and 10). This finding correlates with the lack of in vitro hydrolysis observed experimentally for the WT compared to the increased reactivity shown for the mutants. Furthermore, the fact that mutants with free energy minima corresponding to

semiopen states (such as W60A and D58A/W60A) are as catalytically competent as mutants that prefer an open lid state (such as GSlid) suggests that it may not be necessary for a mutant to sample the fully open state in vitro to perform catalysis. This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that the D58A mutant is less active in vitro then the W60A mutant is despite it having a lower free energy penalty for sampling a fully open lid state. Taken together, this data suggests that the semiopen states may sufficiently weaken the D58-R202 and W60-C193 interactions to facilitate lid opening and, by extension, catalysis.

The available data are compatible with the lid in SrtC1 serving a regulatory role in which it holds the enzyme in an inactive state on the cell surface until interactions with other protein factors and/or the membrane initiate pilus biogenesis by prying the lid from the enzyme. It is advantageous for the lid to hold the enzyme in a dormant state until all of the appropriate factors are present, as pilus assembly is an energetic costly process that involves the covalent linkage of ~100 protein subunits. Because SrtC1 and other sortases hydrolyze their sorting signal substrates when the secondary nucleophile is absent, holding the enzyme in a dormant state would be particularly useful as it could prevent the spurious hydrolytic release of incompletely assembled pili. It is also possible that the main function of the lid is to prevent the oxidation of the active site cysteine residue that is required for catalysis. The active site cysteine residues in other sortase enzymes have been shown to be inactivated by oxidation,^{55,56} and it has been proposed that during infections host cells may create an oxidizing environment to deactivate sortases and thereby render the bacterial pathogen less virulent.56,57 The fact that we observed rapid formation of a disulfide-linked complex between a sorting signal mimic and the W60A lid mutant of SrtC1, but not between the same mimic and the WT protein, suggests that the lid indeed functions to prevent oxidation of the active site cysteine (Figure S4). As bacteria expressing SrtC1 lid mutants are still capable of assembling pili in cell culture, a proper functioning lid is not essential and most likely confers a fitness advantage to the microbe by enabling it to avoid energetically wasteful and spurious pili release and/or sortase inactivation.^{53,58} A greater understanding of the function of the lid and the mechanism of pilus biogenesis will require structure determination and computational modeling of intact reaction intermediates and could lead to the development of new therapeutics that work by disrupting pilus display.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.6b01930.

Additional experimental and computational details, including several figures referred to in the main article, as well as a movie describing the "lid" opening process (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: rclubb@mbi.ucla.edu. Tel: +1 (310) 206 2334. *E-mail: jwereszc@iit.edu. Tel: +1 (312) 567 3322.

Author Contributions

[§]A.W.J. and E.B.N. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grants K22AI104799 (to J.W.) and AI52217 (to R.T.C.) as well as funding from the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research program under Award Number DE-FC02-02ER63421. This work used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant ACI-1053575.

REFERENCES

(1) Ton-That, H.; Schneewind, O. Assembly of Pili in Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* **2004**, *12*, 228–234.

(2) Telford, J. L.; Barocchi, M. A.; Margarit, I.; Rappuoli, R.; Grandi, G. Pili in Gram-Positive Pathogens. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2006**, *4*, 509–519.

(3) Mandlik, A.; Swierczynski, A.; Das, A.; Ton-That, H. Pili in Gram-Positive Bacteria: Assembly, Involvement in Colonization and Biofilm Development. *Trends Microbiol.* **2008**, *16*, 33–40.

(4) Rintahaka, J.; Yu, X.; Kant, R.; Palva, A.; von Ossowski, I. Phenotypical Analysis of the Lactobacillus Rhamnosus GG Fimbrial spaFED Operon: Surface Expression and Functional Characterization of Recombinant SpaFED Pili in Lactococcus Lactis. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, e113922.

(5) Mishra, A.; Wu, C.; Yang, J.; Cisar, J. O.; Das, A.; Ton-That, H. The Actinomyces Oris Type 2 Fimbrial Shaft FimA Mediates Co-Aggregation with Oral Streptococci, Adherence to Red Blood Cells and Biofilm Development. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2010**, *77*, 841–854.

(6) Mishra, A.; Devarajan, B.; Reardon, M. E.; Dwivedi, P.; Krishnan, V.; Cisar, J. O.; Das, A.; Narayana, S. V. L.; Ton-That, H. Two Autonomous Structural Modules in the Fimbrial Shaft Adhesin FimA Mediate Actinomyces Interactions with Streptococci and Host Cells during Oral Biofilm Development. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2011**, *81*, 1205–1220.

(7) Margarit, I.; Rinaudo, C. D.; Galeotti, C. L.; Maione, D.; Ghezzo, C.; Buttazzoni, E.; Rosini, R.; Runci, Y.; Mora, M.; Buccato, S.; et al. Preventing Bacterial Infections with Pilus-Based Vaccines: The Group B Streptococcus Paradigm. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *199*, 108–115.

(8) Hendrickx, A. P. A.; Budzik, J. M.; Oh, S.-Y.; Schneewind, O. Architects at the Bacterial Surface - Sortases and the Assembly of Pili with Isopeptide Bonds. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2011**, *9*, 166–176.

(9) Young, P. G.; Moreland, N. J.; Loh, J. M.; Bell, A.; Atatoa Carr, P.; Proft, T.; Baker, E. N. Structural Conservation, Variability, and Immunogenicity of the T6 Backbone Pilin of Serotype M6 Streptococcus Pyogenes. *Infect. Immun.* **2014**, *82*, 2949–2957.

(10) Barocchi, M. A. A Pneumococcal Pilus Influences Virulence and Host Inflammatory Responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2006**, *103*, 2857–2862.

(11) Mandlik, A.; Swierczynski, A.; Das, A.; Ton-That, H. Corynebacterium Diphtheriae Employs Specific Minor Pilins to Target Human Pharyngeal Epithelial Cells. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2007**, *64*, 111–124.

(12) Maresso, A. W.; Schneewind, O. Sortase as a Target of Anti-Infective Therapy. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2008**, *60*, 128–141.

(13) Walsh, C. Where Will New Antibiotics Come From? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2003**, *1*, 65–70.

(14) Comfort, D.; Clubb, R. T. A Comparative Genome Analysis Identifies Distinct Sorting Pathways in Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Infect. Immun.* **2004**, *72*, 2710–2722.

(15) Spirig, T.; Weiner, E. M.; Clubb, R. T. Sortase Enzymes in Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2011**, *82*, 1044–1059.

(16) Jacobitz, A. W.; Wereszczynski, J.; Yi, S. W.; Amer, B. R.; Huang, G. L.; Nguyen, A. V.; Sawaya, M. R.; Jung, M. E.; McCammon, J. A.; Clubb, R. T. Structural and Computational Studies of the Staphylococcus Aureus Sortase. J. Biol. Chem. 2014, 289, 8891–8902.

(17) Ton-That, H.; Schneewind, O. Assembly of Pili on the Surface of Corynebacterium Diphtheriae. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2003**, *50*, 1429–1438.

(18) Manzano, C.; Contreras-Martel, C.; El Mortaji, L.; Izoré, T.; Fenel, D.; Vernet, T.; Schoehn, G.; Di Guilmi, A. M.; Dessen, A. Sortase-Mediated Pilus Fiber Biogenesis in Streptococcus Pneumoniae. *Structure* **2008**, *16*, 1838–1848.

(19) Manzano, C.; Izoré, T.; Job, V.; Di Guilmi, A. M.; Dessen, A. Sortase Activity Is Controlled by a Flexible Lid in the Pilus Biogenesis Mechanism of Gram-Positive Pathogens. *Biochemistry* **2009**, *48*, 10549–10557.

(20) Persson, K. Structure of the Sortase AcSrtC-1 from Actinomyces Oris. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2011**, *67*, 212–217.

(21) Jacobitz, A. W.; Wereszczynski, J.; Yi, S. W.; Amer, B. R.; Huang, G. L.; Nguyen, A. V.; Sawaya, M. R.; Jung, M. E.; McCammon, J. A.; Clubb, R. T. Structural and Computational Studies of the Staphylococcus Aureus Sortase B-Substrate Complex Reveal a Substrate-Stabilized Oxyanion Hole. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *289*, 8891–8902.

(22) Suree, N.; Liew, C. K.; Villareal, V. A.; Thieu, W.; Fadeev, E. A.; Clemens, J. J.; Jung, M. E.; Clubb, R. T. The Structure of the Staphylococcus Aureus Sortase-Substrate Complex Reveals How the Universally Conserved LPXTG Sorting Signal Is Recognized. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, 284, 24465–24477.

(23) Chan, A. H.; Yi, S. W.; Terwilliger, A. L.; Maresso, A. W.; Jung, M. E.; Clubb, R. T. Structure of the Bacillus Anthracis Sortase A Enzyme Bound to Its Sorting Signal: A Flexible Amino-Terminal Appendage Modulates Substrate Access. J. Biol. Chem. 2015, 290, 25461–25474.

(24) Neiers, F.; Madhurantakam, C.; Fälker, S.; Manzano, C.; Dessen, A.; Normark, S.; Henriques-Normark, B.; Achour, A. Two Crystal Structures of Pneumococcal Pilus Sortase C Provide Novel Insights into Catalysis and Substrate Specificity. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2009**, *393*, 704–716.

(25) Khare, B.; Krishnan, V.; Rajashankar, K. R.; I-Hsiu, H.; Xin, M.; Ton-That, H.; Narayana, S. V. Structural Differences between the Streptococcus Agalactiae Housekeeping and Pilus-Specific Sortases: SrtA and SrtC1. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6*, e22995.

(26) Khare, B.; Fu, Z.-Q.; Huang, I.-H.; Ton-That, H.; Narayana, S. V. L. The Crystal Structure Analysis of Group B Streptococcus Sortase C1: A Model for the "Lid" Movement upon Substrate Binding. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2011**, *414*, 563–577.

(27) Cozzi, R.; Prigozhin, D.; Rosini, R.; Abate, F.; Bottomley, M. J.; Grandi, G.; Telford, J. L.; Rinaudo, C. D.; Maione, D.; Alber, T. Structural Basis for Group B Streptococcus Pilus 1 Sortases C Regulation and Specificity. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e49048.

(28) Lu, G.; Qi, J.; Gao, F.; Yan, J.; Tang, J.; Gao, G. F. A Novel "Open-Form" Structure of Sortase C from Streptococcus Suis. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.* **2011**, *79*, 2764–2769.

(29) Bogaert, D.; Hermans, P. W. M.; Adrian, P. V.; Rümke, H. C.; Groot, R. de. Pneumococcal Vaccines: An Update on Current Strategies. *Vaccine* **2004**, *22*, 2209–2220.

(30) O'Brien, K. L.; Wolfson, L. J.; Watt, J. P.; Henkle, E.; Deloria-Knoll, M.; McCall, N.; Lee, E.; Mulholland, K.; Levine, O. S.; Cherian, T. Burden of Disease Caused by Streptococcus Pneumoniae in Children Younger than 5 Years: Global Estimates. *Lancet* **2009**, *374*, 893–902.

(31) Levine, O. S.; O'Brien, K. L.; Knoll, M.; Adegbola, R. A.; Black, S.; Cherian, T.; Dagan, R.; Goldblatt, D.; Grange, A.; Greenwood, B. Pneumococcal Vaccination in Developing Countries. *Lancet* **2006**, 367, 1880–1882.

(32) Sjöström, K.; Blomberg, C.; Fernebro, J.; Dagerhamn, J.; Morfeldt, E.; Barocchi, M. A.; Browall, S.; Moschioni, M.; Andersson, M.; Henriques, F.; et al. Clonal Success of Piliated Penicillin Nonsusceptible Pneumococci. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104*, 12907–12912.

(33) Danne, C.; Dramsi, S. Pili of Gram-Positive Bacteria: Roles in Host Colonization. *Res. Microbiol.* **2012**, *163*, 645–658.

(34) Prodromou, C.; Pearl, L. H. Recursive PCR: A Novel Technique for Total Gene Synthesis. *Protein Eng., Des. Sel.* **1992**, *5*, 827–829.

(35) Delaglio, F.; Grzesiek, S.; Vuister, G. W.; Zhu, G.; Pfeifer, J.; Bax, A. NMRPipe: A Multidimensional Spectral Processing System Based on UNIX Pipes. *J. Biomol. NMR* **1995**, *6*, 277–293.

(36) Keller, R. CARA: Computer Aided Resonance Assignment, version 1.9.0; Cantina Verlag: Goldau, 2004.

(37) Goddard, T. D.; Kneller, D. G. *Sparky*, version 3; University of California: San Francisco, CA, 2006.

(38) Case, D. A.; Cheatham, T. E.; Darden, T.; Gohlke, H.; Luo, R.; Merz, K. M.; Onufriev, A.; Simmerling, C.; Wang, B.; Woods, R. J. The Amber Biomolecular Simulation Programs. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1668–1688.

(39) Jorgensen, W. L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L. Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *79*, 926–935.

(40) Maier, J. A.; Martinez, C.; Kasavajhala, K.; Wickstrom, L.; Hauser, K. E.; Simmerling, C. ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 3696–3713.

(41) Ryckaert, J.-P.; Ciccotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. Numerical Integration of the Cartesian Equations of Motion of a System with Constraints: Molecular Dynamics of N-Alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, 23, 327–341.

(42) Essmann, U.; Perera, L.; Berkowitz, M. L.; Darden, T.; Lee, H.; Pedersen, L. G. A Smooth Particle Mesh Ewald Method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *103*, 8577–8593.

(43) Götz, A. W.; Williamson, M. J.; Xu, D.; Poole, D.; Le Grand, S.; Walker, R. C. Routine Microsecond Molecular Dynamics Simulations with AMBER on GPUs. 1. Generalized Born. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012**, *8*, 1542–1555.

(44) Salomon-Ferrer, R.; Götz, A. W.; Poole, D.; Le Grand, S.; Walker, R. C. Routine Microsecond Molecular Dynamics Simulations with AMBER on GPUs. 2. Explicit Solvent Particle Mesh Ewald. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 3878–3888.

(45) Sugita, Y.; Okamoto, Y. Replica-Exchange Molecular Dynamics Method for Protein Folding. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *314*, 141–151.

(46) Patriksson, A.; van der Spoel, D. A Temperature Predictor for Parallel Tempering Simulations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 2073–2077.

(47) Lange, O. F.; Grubmüller, H. Full Correlation Analysis of Conformational Protein Dynamics. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.* **2008**, *70*, 1294–1312.

(48) Grossfield, A. WHAM: The Weighted Histogram Analysis Method, version 2.0.9; University of Rochester: Rochester, NY, 2013.

(49) Fiorin, G.; Klein, M. L.; Hénin, J. Using Collective Variables to Drive Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Mol. Phys.* **2013**, *111*, 3345–3362.

(50) Phillips, J. C.; Braun, R.; Wang, W.; Gumbart, J.; Tajkhorshid, E.; Villa, E.; Chipot, C.; Skeel, R. D.; Kalé, L.; Schulten, K. Scalable Molecular Dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1781–1802.

(51) Mittermaier, A.; Kay, L. E. New Tools Provide New Insights in NMR Studies of Protein Dynamics. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 224–228.

(52) Vallurupalli, P.; Bouvignies, G.; Kay, L. E. Studying "Invisible" Excited Protein States in Slow Exchange with a Major State Conformation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 8148–8161.

(53) Cozzi, R.; Malito, E.; Nuccitelli, A.; D'Onofrio, M.; Martinelli, M.; Ferlenghi, I.; Grandi, G.; Telford, J. L.; Maione, D.; Rinaudo, C. D. Structure Analysis and Site-Directed Mutagenesis of Defined Key Residues and Motives for Pilus-Related Sortase C1 in Group B Streptococcus. *FASEB J.* **2011**, *25*, 1874–1886.

(54) Cozzi, R.; Zerbini, F.; Assfalg, M.; D'Onofrio, M.; Biagini, M.; Martinelli, M.; Nuccitelli, A.; Norais, N.; Telford, J. L.; Maione, D.; et al. Group B Streptococcus Pilus Sortase Regulation: A Single Mutation in the Lid Region Induces Pilin Protein Polymerization in Vitro. *FASEB J.* **2013**, *27*, 3144–3154.

(55) Mazmanian, S. K.; Ton-That, H.; Su, K.; Schneewind, O. An Iron-Regulated Sortase Anchors a Class of Surface Protein during Staphylococcus Aureus Pathogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2002, 99, 2293–2298.

(56) Race, P. R.; Bentley, M. L.; Melvin, J. A.; Crow, A.; Hughes, R. K.; Smith, W. D.; Sessions, R. B.; Kehoe, M. A.; McCafferty, D. G.; Banfield, M. J. Crystal Structure of Streptococcus Pyogenes Sortase A. J. Biol. Chem. **2009**, 284, 6924–6933.

(57) Melvin, J. A.; Murphy, C. F.; Dubois, L. G.; Thompson, J. W.; Moseley, M. A.; McCafferty, D. G. Staphylococcus Aureus Sortase A Contributes to the Trojan Horse Mechanism of Immune Defense Evasion with Its Intrinsic Resistance to Cys184 Oxidation. *Biochemistry* **2011**, *50*, 7591–7599.

(58) Wu, C.; Mishra, A.; Reardon, M. E.; Huang, I.-H.; Counts, S. C.; Das, A.; Ton-That, H. Structural Determinants of Actinomyces Sortase SrtC2 Required for Membrane Localization and Assembly of Type 2 Fimbriae for Interbacterial Coaggregation and Oral Biofilm Formation. *J. Bacteriol.* **2012**, *194*, 2531–2539.