The Synthesis of Carboracycles Derived from *B*,*B*'-Bis(aryl) Derivatives of Icosahedral *ortho*-Carborane

Michael J. Bayer, Axel Herzog, Martin Diaz, George A. Harakas, Hans Lee, Carolyn B. Knobler, and M. Frederick Hawthorne^{*[a]}

Abstract: Reactions of both closo-9,12-I₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ and *closo*-9,10-I₂-1,7- $C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ with an excess of aryl magnesium bromide in the presence of $[PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ afford the corresponding $closo-9,12-(4-R-C_6H_4)_2-1,2-C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ [R = H (1), Me (2), OMe (3), SMe (4),N(CH₃)₂ (5), Cl (6)] and closo-9,10-(4- $R'-C_6H_4)_2-1,7-C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ [R' = Me (7), OMe (8), N(CH₃)₂ (9), Cl (10), and $-C[(OCH_2)_2]CH_3$ (11)] compounds in high yields. The anisole derivatives 3 and 8 were deprotected to yield the corresponding bis-phenols 12 and 13, respectively. Structural analyses of compounds 1, 3, 6, and 12 are reported. Reetherification of compound 12 by using γ -bromotriethyleneglycol methyl ether provided 14 $(R = (CH_2CH_2O)_3CH_3)$. Oxidation of 4 with ceric(IV) ammonium

nitrate (CAN) generated the bis-sulfoxide **15** (R = S(O)Me). Deprotection of compound **11** led to the corresponding acetyl derivative **18** (R' = C(O)Me). Bisanisole **3** was tethered with 1,3-dibromopropane, 1,6-dibromohexane, 1,8-dibromooctane, 4,4'-bis(iodomethyl)-1,1'biphenyl, and α,α' -dibromo-2,6-lutidine to afford the dimers **20b**, **21b**, **22b**, **23b**, and **24b**, respectively. The tetrameric carboracycles **27a** and **30a**, as well as the dimeric **29c** were obtained through repetitive coupling of the dimeric compounds **20b**, **24b**, and **22b** with 1,3dibromopropane, α,α' -dibromo-2,6-luti-

Keywords: boron · carboracycles · carboranes · host-guest chemistry · macrocycles

dine, and 1,8-dibromooctane, respectively. The tetrameric carboracycle 28a was obtained upon consecutive reactions of 1 with 1,4-dibromobutane. Hexameric carboracycle 28b was identified as a byproduct. Exhaustive ether cleavage of 27 a generated octaphenol 31 a. Reetherification of 31a with trimethylenesultone provided the octasulfonate 32a, the first example of a water-soluble carboracycle. Linkage of dimer 23b with α, α' -dibromolutidine yielded the cyclic tetrameric tetrapyridyl derivative 30 a in low yield. The structures of the carboracycles 27 a, 28 a, 28 b, and 30 a have been confirmed by X-ray crystallography. In addition, the compounds 28 a,b are the first reported carboracycles that interact with solvent molecules in a host-guest fashion.

Introduction

Due to their rigid near-spherical geometry and their chemical and thermal stabilities the icosahedral carboranes ($C_2B_{10}H_{12}$) can serve as chemical building blocks for several specialized applications in the field of biomedical and material sciences.^[1-8] The design and synthesis of macromolecular architectures which employ icosahedral carborane cages as integral modules has only recently been explored.^[8b, 9-16] Assemblies such as mercuracarborands,^[12] carboracycles^[13, 14] and carborarods^[15] are tailored to exploit the versatile chemistry of the carborane C–H and B–H vertices along with the rigid threedimensional nature of the three isomeric icosahedral *closo*carborane cages (1,2-, 1,7- and 1,12-). However, frameworks composed of carboranes that bear substituents at both the C and B vertices are rare,^[17] since these scaffolds are commonly constructed utilizing the carbon vertices of the parent carboranes. However, cage substituents such as alkyl are typically placed at the boron vertices to improve the solubility of the macromolecule in organic solvents. Seldom do they play a role in a multifunctional construct.^[3a, 18]

To date, the reaction of B-iodinated polyhedral boranes with a Grignard reagent under Kumada coupling conditions^[19] can be considered to be the most reliable route to B–C-substituted species^[20] and a library of B-alkylated, -ethynylated, and -arylated carborane derivatives was obtained by this method. However, the vast majority of these coupling reactions afford carborane derivatives with B-hydrocarbon residues, and only in the case of B-arylation has the direct introduction of functionalized hydrocarbon residues been achieved.

Here we have extended the family of icosahedral B,B'bis(diaryl) *closo*-1,2- and *closo*-1,7- icosahedral carboranes to those compounds bearing functional groups in the 4-position

 [[]a] Prof. M. F. Hawthorne, Dr. M. J. Bayer, Dr. A. Herzog, Dr. M. Diaz, Dr. G. A. Harakas, Dr. H. Lee, Dr. C. B. Knobler Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095 (USA) Fax: (+1)310-825-5490 E-mail: mfh@chem.ucla.edu

of their substituted phenyl groups. In addition, we describe their incorporation into cyclic macromolecular arrays; this in turn allows their derivatization, which results in such unusual properties such as water-solubility.^[21] Related structure determinations, including that of the first hexameric carboracycle, are reported.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and reactivity of icosahedral *closo-B,B'*-bis(aryl) carboranes: Following previously applied protocols, *closo*-9,12-I₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀^[20a] and *closo*-9,10-I₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀^[20a] were first deprotonated with one equivalent of methyl magnesium bromide (which was used to neutralize one of the two acidic carborane C–H vertex protons) and thereafter arylated using 3.5 equivalents of freshly prepared aryl magnesium bromide in the presence of [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (3 mol %) (Scheme 1). The yields of the coupled products were all good to excellent.



Scheme 1. B-Arylation of 1,2- and 1,7-carborane: a) ICl, AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂; b) 1. MeMgBr 2. R'MgBr (R'=4-R-aryl), $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$; c) BBr₃; d) K₂CO₃, Br(CH₂CH₂O)₃CH₃; e) (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆, (*n*Bu)₄NBr; f) *m*chloroperbenzoic acid; g) (Ac)₂O; h) HCl (10%).

The bis-4-methoxyphenyl derivatives **3** and **8** were efficiently deprotected regiospecifically by using BBr₃ to furnish the phenol derivatives *closo*-9,12-(4-C₆H₄OH)₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (**12**) and *closo*-9,10-(4-C₆H₄OH)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (**13**), respectively, in quantitative yields.^[22] In the solid state, the diols contain one molecule of polar solvent such as diethyl ether (vide infra), acetone, or THF, even after drying at elevated temperatures in vacuo. Diol **12** was further reacted to give the bis-monomethyltriethylene glycolether derivative **14** (Scheme 1). The etherification was conducted under standard conditions^[23] by using 2-bromoethylene di(ethyleneglycol)

methyl ether^[24] under mild basic conditions (K_2CO_3) (Scheme 1). The product formed in only 75% yield due to incomplete alkylation; degradation of the carborane cage was not observed. Compound **14** was insoluble in water.

The selective oxidation of **4** proved difficult. While the reaction of **4** with two equivalents of urea-hydrogen peroxide adduct in acetone did not occur at all, oxidation of **4** with *m*-CPBA^[25] or $MnO_2^{[25]}$ resulted in the formation of the desired bis-sulfoxide **15** only in low yield accompanied by the generation of the bis-sulfone derivative **16**. Almost quantitative yield of **15** was obtained upon the reaction of **4** with four equivalents of CAN^[26] under phase-transfer conditions (Scheme 1).

The quarternization and protonation of both **5** and **9** were attempted, but their reactions with methyl iodide and trifluoromethyl sulfonic acid, respectively, did not generate the corresponding ammonium species.

Both the reactions of bis-phenol **13** with β -1,2,3,4-tetraacetyl-6-tosyl-D-glucose^[27] and β -1,2,3,4-tetraacetyl-6-iodo-D-glucose,^[27] respectively, in the presence of K₂CO₃ (acetone) or *n*BuLi (THF) resulted in transesterification affording the diacetate **17** (74%). The same reactions carried out in CH₃CN (K₂CO₃) resulted in the degradation of **17**, based on ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy and FAB mass spectrometry data. However, isolation of this *nido*-species was not attempted. Compound **17** was obtained in quantitative yield by treating **13** with acetic anhydride in the presence of triethylamine.

The reaction of ketal **11** with 10% HCl resulted in the formation of **18** (Scheme 1).

Structural characterizations: Compounds 1, 3, 6, and 12 were structurally characterized (Table 1). Their X-ray crystal structures (Figures 1–4) indicate that infinite polymeric chains are formed in the solid state; in all cases they are imposed by weak non-classical carborane C–H··· π hydrogen bonding as observed previously.^[20c, 28] Each polarized carborane C–H is directed towards the centroid of an aromatic ring of an adjoining molecule by interaction with the π -electrons of the aromatic ring.

In the structure of **1** (Figure 1) the $C \cdots \pi$ centroid separations are 3.35 and 3.74 Å and the $C-H \cdots \pi$ angles are 171 and 173°, respectively. The $\pi \cdots C1-C2 \cdots \pi$ dihedral angle for **1** measures 0°, suggesting that the molecule packs in the crystal lattice without any apparent distortions to the icosahedral carborane cage.

In the crystal structure of **3** (Figure 2) $C \cdots \pi$ centroid separations are 3.31 and 3.33 Å and $C-H \cdots \pi$ angles are 167.7 and 167.9°, respectively. The $\pi \cdots C1-C2 \cdots \pi$ dihedral angle of 2.5° indicates almost distortion-free crystal packing of compound **3**.

The crystal structure of compound **6** (Figure 3) reveals C···· π centroid separations of 3.55 (C1A···· π), 3.53 (C2A··· π), 3.49 (C1B···· π), and 3.52 Å (C2B··· π) and C–H···· π angles of 166.5, 174.3, 170.2, and 170.1°, respectively. The dihedral angles of 9.1 (π ····C1A–C2A··· π) and 10.7° (π ····C1B–C2B ··· π) indicate a significant distortion of the icosahedron.

In the crystal structure of **12** (Figure 4), which contains one molecule of diethyl ether per carborane unit, the C $\cdots\pi$ centroid separations are 3.40 and 3.49 Å and C–H $\cdots\pi$ angles

FULL PAPER

Table 1. Crystallographic data and details of the structure determinations of compounds 1, 3, 6, and 12.

5 8 1	1 , , , ,			
	1	3	6	12
formula	$C_{14}H_{20}B_{10}$	$C_{32}H_{48}B_{20}O_4$	$C_{56}H_{72}B_{40}Cl_8$	$C_{36}H_{60}B_{20}O_6$
M _r	296.40	712.90	1461.14	805.04
<i>T</i> [K]	293(2)	293(2)	293(2)	293(2)
crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	C2/c	$P2_1/n$	C2/c	$P2_1/c$
a [Å]	18.096(16)	6.938(4)	27.402(8)	11.168(11)
<i>b</i> [Å]	7.711(6)	14.917(8)	16.451(5)	15.732(17)
<i>c</i> [Å]	14.229(12)	19.560(10)	21.671(7)	13.563(15)
α [°]	90	90	90	90
β [°]	122.68(2)	90.89(2)	128.190(7)	96.75(3)
γ[°]	90	90	90	90
V[Å ³]	1671(2)	2024.0(2)	7678(4)	2366(4)
Ζ	4	2	16	4
$ ho_{ m calcd} \left[m gcm^{-3} ight]$	1.178	1.170	1.264	1.130
$\mu [\mathrm{mm}^{-1}]$	0.404	0.065	0.332	0.501
F(000)	616	744	2976	848
crystal size [mm]	0.20 imes 0.22 imes 0.40	$0.22\times0.28\times0.40$	$0.38 \times 0.20 \times 0.18$	$0.45\times0.15\times0.10$
θ_{\max} [°]	57.50	30.00	27.50	57.47
index ranges	0/19, 0/8, -15/13	0/9, 0/19, -27/27	0/35, 0/19, -25/20	0/12, 0/17, -14/14
unique reflections	1141	4923	7138	3231
reflections observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	966	1918	2952	1595
parameters	115	266	370	245
$R1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0460	0.0628	0.0603	0.0780
wR2	0.1229	0.2010	0.1895	0.2477
largest diff. peak/hole [eÅ ⁻³]	+0.198/-0.161	+0.274/-0.191	+0.304/-0.245	+0.235/-0.246



Figure 1. ORTEP representation showing the molecular structure of 1.



Figure 2. ORTEP representation showing the molecular structure of 3.

are 172.9 and 167.9°, respectively. The $\pi \cdots C1 - C2 \cdots \pi$ dihedral angle of 2.5° is identical to that of **3**, indicating only a slight dihedral angle distortion. The crystal packing of **12** is



Figure 3. ORTEP representation showing the molecular structure of 6.

also affected by classical intermolecular O–H···O hydrogenbonding interactions between the phenyl hydroxyl groups. In addition, hydrogen bridges are formed between the solvent oxygen atoms and hydroxyl groups.

The carborane C–H···· π interactions in the solid-state structures of **1**, **3**, **6**, and **12** are also reflected in their IR spectra. The stretching frequencies for the carboranyl C–H bonds of **1** (3069 cm⁻¹), **3** (3069 and 3065 cm⁻¹), **6** (3067 cm⁻¹), and **12** (3064 cm⁻¹) are shifted to lower wavenumbers relative to those of *ortho*-carborane (3071 cm⁻¹). This phenomenon is consistent with previous observations.^[20c, 28a, 29] In addition, the infrared spectrum of **12** displays two distinct O–H stretching modes at 3497 and 3383 cm⁻¹ in agreement with its two different types of bridging OH-substituents (vide supra).



Figure 4. Crystal structure of **12** illustrating the carborane C–H···· π interactions and the O4PA···O3SA separation of 2.66 Å, the O4PA··· O10P separation of 2.78 Å; the O4PA–H···O3SA angle of 161.2°, and the O4PA···H–O10P angle of 177.0°.

Synthesis of multifunctional carboracycles: Bis-anisole 3 was chosen for the syntheses of the functionalized carboracycles. In the first step, a linkage between two substituted *closo*-1,2-carborane molecules was established by protecting regiose-lectively one of the two C–H vertices of the carborane with a *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl group,^[30] followed by deprotonation of the second C–H vertex and subsequent reaction with an appropriate dihalide (Scheme 2).^[13] The employment of 1,3-dibromopropane, 1,6-dibromohexane, 1,8-dibromooctane, 4,4'-bis(iodomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl, and α,α' -dibromo-2,6-lutidine, respectively, afforded the bis-protected dimeric building blocks **20a**, **21a**, **22a**, **23a**, and **24a** in 80–90% yields. Desilylation of the dimers was achieved with tetrabutylammonium fluoride to provide the compounds **20b–24b** in quantitative yields (Scheme 2).

The tetrameric carboracycle **27a** was obtained upon bislithiation of **20b** with *n*-butyllithium and subsequent reaction with 1,3-dibromopropane (Scheme 2).^[13] Due to the 4-methoxy phenyl substituents, compound **27a** exhibits enhanced



 $Scheme \ 2. \ Synthesis of various carboracycles: a) \ 1. \\ nBuLi, 2. \ TBDMSCl; b) \ 1. \\ nBuLi, 2. \ HalCH_2R^1CH_2Hal; c) \ (nBu)_4NF; d) \ (nBu)_4N$

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 2732–2744 www.chemeurj.org © 2003 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

solubility in common organic solvents compared to the corresponding unsubstituted species.^[13] Thus 27a could be characterized unambiguously by NMR mspectroscopy. Compared to the ¹¹B NMR spectrum of **20**a, in which four distinct peaks in the ratio of 1:1:2:6 were observed, the spectrum of 27 a exhibits two broad signals in the ratio of 1:4, a characteristic pattern found for a carboracycle containing 1,2-carborane.^[13] Mass spectrometric analysis of 27a displayed the parent peak (m/z = 1586.4) by applying FAB (positive mode). However, the mass spectra of samples of the crude reaction mixture, as well as the solid residues of impure 27 a retained from multiple recrystallizations, consistently exhibit a peak with an intensity of 30 % at m/z = 2379.8. Both its mass range and isotope pattern were consistent with that expected for the hexameric carboracycle 27b. Unfortunately, species 27b could be isolated neither by recrystallization nor by column chromatography.

Crystals of compound 27a suitable for X-ray structure analysis (Table 2) were grown from a solution in 1,3-dimethoxybenzene. The centrosymmetric structure of 27a is depicted in Figure 5. The macrocycle possesses a chair conformation. Four carborane cages and two opposing trimethylene linkers describe a plane, while the remaining two linkers lie above and below the plane of the cyclic tetramer, respectively.

Comparable to the synthesis of **27 a**, the analogous preparation of tetrameric carboracycle **28 a** from **1** and 1,4dibromobutane (Scheme 2) was also accompanied by the formation of the corresponding hexameric species **28 b** (Scheme 2), based on ¹¹B NMR data. Separation of **28 a** from **28 b** was achieved by fractional crystallization from 1,3dimethoxybenzene to give pure **28 a** in 79% yield. The product was characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and X-ray analysis (Table 2). The hexameric



Figure 5. ORTEP representation showing the molecular structure of 27 a.

carboracycle **28b**, which, like **27b**, formed only in minor amounts, was identified by mass spectrometry. Additionally, the X-ray analysis of **28b** could be performed with single crystals obtained by multiple recrystallization of the mixture of **28a** and **28b** in 1,3-dimethoxybenzene and final manual separation based on morphological features.

Colorless crystals of **28 a** suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were obtained from a solution of 1,4-dioxane. The structure is shown in Figure 6. The carborane cages of the macrocycle share a common plane, while the four tetramethylene linkers are alternately bent above and below the plane of the molecule; the macrocycle has S_4 symmetry. The crystal contains six molecules of 1,4-dioxane per cyclic tetramer; two of them are severely disordered while the remaining four are disordered at the oxygen atoms. Remark-

	27 a \cdot 2 (CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₄	$\mathbf{28a} \cdot 6(C_2H_4O_2)_2$	$28b \cdot 8(CH_3O)_2C_6H_4$	$30 a \cdot 4 C_4 H_8 O_2 \cdot 2 H_2 O$
formula	$C_{92}H_{132}B_{40}O_{10}$	$C_{107}H_{132}B_{40}O_{10}$	$C_{172}H_{236}B_{60}O_{16}$	$C_{107}H_{116}B_{40}N_4O_{17}$
$M_{ m r}$	1830.38	2010.53	3208.21	2162.44
<i>T</i> [K]	100(2)	293(2)	105(2)	293(2)
crystal system	triclinic	tetragonal	monoclinic	triclinic
space group	$P\bar{1}$	ΙĀ	$P2_{1}/c$	$P\bar{1}$
a [Å]	11.643(5)	18.215(9)	15.088(1)	17.775(2)
b [Å]	14.614(7)	18.215(9)	33.929(3)	18.118(2)
c [Å]	16.493(8)	17.719(10)	18.498(2)	21.104(3)
α [°]	84.694(9)	90	90	103.588(2)
β [°]	76.814(8)	90	103.880(2)	103.881(2)
γ [°]	86.499(9)	90	90	96.716(2)
V [Å ³]	2718(2)	5879(5)	9193.1(1)	6304.2(13)
Z	1	2	2	2
$\rho_{\rm calcd} [\rm g cm^{-3}]$	1.118	1.136	1.159	1.139
$\mu [\mathrm{mm}^{-1}]$	0.064	0.490	0.066	0.070
F(000)	964	2108	3392	2244
crystal size [mm]	$0.02 \times 0.20 \times 0.40$	$0.35 \times 0.35 \times 0.35$	0.20 imes 0.50 imes 0.50	$0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.35$
θ_{\max} [°]	28.42	57.47	28.31	23.30
index ranges	-15/15, -17/19, -21/19	0/19, 0/19, 0/19	-20/19, -38/44, -23/24	-14/19, -20/17, -23/22
unique reflections	17720	2234	21917	17897
reflections observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	3792	1258	8188	7703
parameters	444	340	1149	897
$R1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.1553	0.0776	0.0632	0.1631
wR2	0.4559	0.2543	0.1461	0.4767
largest diff. peak/hole [eÅ-3]	+0.686/-0.392	+0.188/-0.160	+0.298/-0.221	+1.249/-0.656

Table 2. Crystallographic data and details of the structure determinations of compounds 27 a, 28 a, 28 b, and 30 a.

2736 —

© 2003 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www

www.chemeurj.org Chem. E

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 2732-2744



Figure 6. ORTEP representation showing the molecular structure of 28 a.

ably, two dioxane molecules are found in close proximity above and below the center of the cavity (Figure 6).

The molecular structure of **28b**, the first known hexameric carboracycle, is depicted in Figure 7. The centrosymmetric



Figure 7. Top: ORTEP representation of hexameric octaphenyl cycle **28b**, sideview. The macrocycle describes the crownlike structure capturing two of the six 1,3-dimethoxybenzene molecules. Bottom: Top view of **28b**, cage-B vertices omitted for clarity; the representation displays the 32-membered ring of carbon atoms containing two solvent molecules.

molecule crystallized in a pseudo-chair conformation together with eight solvent molecules of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene. Their closest contacts with **28b** all involve interactions of oxygen atoms to hydrogen atoms of B–H vertices, methylene moieties, and aryl carbon atoms of the cage-bound phenyl groups. The shortest interaction observed is a $CH_2 \cdots O$ distance of 2.51 Å, within the range of van der Waals separations.^[31] Comparable to the tetramer **28a**, the molecular structure of **28b** also shows 1,3-dimethoxybenzene molecules positioned above and below the center of the cavity.

The observation that, unlike compound **27a**, the cycles **28a** and **28b** display host–guest type chemistry suggested the synthesis of carboracycles with larger cavity sizes by deploying longer linker moieties. However, the reaction of **22b-Li₂** with one equivalent of 1,8-dibromooctane afforded cyclic tetramer **29a** in only 9% yield, while the principal product and cyclic dimer **29c** was formed in 71% yield (Scheme 3). It



Scheme 3. Dimeric carboracycle forms in 71% yield: a) 1. *n*BuLi, 2. Br(CH₂)₈Br.

is apparent that the longer the bridging hydrocarbon unit the more the formation of a dimeric cycle is favored. This observation is supported by the previous discovery that dimeric and tetrameric cycles form in a ratio of 1:2, respectively, upon linking 1,2-carborane via *m*-xylenylidene units.^[13]

Previous attempts to assemble four 1,2-carborane cages in a cyclic manner via 2,6-lutidylidene tethers unexpectedly failed.^[13] In revisiting this goal, the bis-lithiated tetraanisole derivative **24b** was treated with 1 molar equivalent of α , α' -dibromo-2,6-lutidine^[32] to afford cyclic tetramer **30a** in low yield accompanied by starting material and unidentified material (Scheme 2). Compound **30a** was isolated by multiple fractional crystallizations in 10% yield. It was characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography (Table 2).

A suitable crystal for the X-ray diffraction study was grown from a solution of **30a** in dichloromethane/tetrahydrofuran. The molecular structure of **30a** (Figure 8) shows that two opposite pyridine rings are approximately parallel with a deviation of 21.3°. The distance between the centroids of these two rings is 3.77 Å, suggesting π -stacking involvement. The remaining two pyridine rings are tilted 69.3° with respect to each other and their centroids are separated by 9.43 Å.

Compound **30a** is potentially useful as a complexing reagent for metal ions. However, no evidence of Cu^{2+} complexation was found upon treatment of **30a** with copper(II) triflate. Futhermore, quarternization and protonation of the pyridyl nitrogen centers with methyl triflate and triflic



Figure 8. ORTEP representation showing the molecular structure of 30a.

acid, respectively, did not take place. A comparably poor nucleophilicity of lutidylidene moieties connecting two carborane cages had been previously observed in a dimeric carboracycle containing 1,7-carborane.^[13]

To transform the macrocycle **28a** into a water soluble species it was sulfonated at the phenyl residues using chlorosulfonic acid followed by hydrolysis. Electrophoresis and HPLC analysis of the water soluble product, however, proved it to be an inseparable mixture of regioisomers; furthermore, mass spectrometric analysis of the mixture indicated that more than eight sulfonate groups were attached to some cycles. Alternatively, the reaction of the cyclic tetramer **27a** with BBr₃ in dichloromethane provided the octa-4-hydroxyphenyl-substituted cycle **31a** in 80% yield (Scheme 4).^[33] Both ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra confirmed the purity of **31a** by the absence of the signal for the protons of the remaining methoxy groups. Further reaction of **31a** with methyl sulfoxide methanide (CH₃SOCH₂⁻) in DMSO, produced in situ from *n*-butyllithium and DMSO,^[34] followed by

treatment with 1,3-propane sultone generated the watersoluble octasulfonate 32a through a ring-opening reaction. The product mixture was purified by HPLC to recover pure $32a-Li_8$ in 35% yield.

Compound **32a** exhibits excellent water solubility, and, unlike sulfonated **28a**, particle size analysis for ultrasonicated aqueous solutions of **32a** gave rise to the formation of longer aggregates such as micelles. Further investigation of these properties are under way.

Conclusion

We have described the syntheses of both the 1,2- and 1,7isomers of novel para-phenyl-substituted icosahedral B,B'diaryl closo-carboranes by reacting the parent B,B'-diiodides with aryl Grignard reagents under Kumada coupling conditions. Furthermore selected bis(aryl)-1,2-carboranes were used as synthons in the formation of macrocycles with an organic linker. As demonstrated, the size and geometry of the cycles, the nature of the functional groups in the para-position of the phenyl substituents, as well as the nature of the linking moiety can be tuned in a controlled fashion to give a variety of novel structures. Interestingly, the formation of dimeric cycles is preferred with increasing chain length of the organic tether. However, butylidene-linked compound 28b has been structurally identified and represents the first hexameric carboracycle to date. The observed molecular interaction in the crystal structures of tetrameric 28a and 28b between the cavity center and solvent molecules (dioxane in 28 a and 1,3dimethoxybenzene in 28b) suggests a basis for further exploration of carboracycles in host-guest chemistry. Moreover, compound 32a, with eight sulfonate substituents, exemplifies the first water-soluble carboracycle. Alternatively, the attachment of long hydrocarbon chains at the hydroxyl groups of deprotected 30 a could lead to discotic liquid crystal carboracycles upon metal cation complexation.^[35] The development of new supramolecular chemistry and its use in



Scheme 4. Synthesis of a water soluble carboracycle: a) BBr₃; b) 1. DMSO, nBuLi, 2. 1,3-trimethylene sultone.

molecular recognition in solvent systems that range from organic to aqueous represent future applications of these novel functionalizable carboracycles.

Experimental Section

General considerations: Standard Schlenk and vacuum line techniques were employed when appropriate. All solvents used were reagent grade. The solvents CH2Cl2, CH3CN, and NEt3 were distilled from calcium hydride prior to their use. THF was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Acetone (Fisher Scientific) was used without further purification. The reagents 4-bromoanisole, 4-bromothioanisole, 4-bromo-NN-dimethylaniline, 4-bromophenylethyleneketal, acetic anhydride, 4-chlorophenylmagnesium bromide (Et₂O), phenylmagnesium bromide (THF), methylmagnesium bromide (THF), [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂], KO₂, (CH₃)₃SiCl, (NH₄)₂[Ce-(NO₂)₆], (Aldrich) were used as purchased. The reagents Br(CH₂CH₂O)₃CH₃,^[23] and 4,4'-bis(iodomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl^[36] were prepared as previously described. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 470 FTIR spectrophotometer. The ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹¹B NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM400 and AM500 spectrometers. Chemical shifts for 1H and 13C NMR spectra were referenced to signals of residual 1H and ¹³C present in deuteriated solvents. Chemical shifts values for ¹¹B NMR spectra were referenced relative to external BF₃·OEt₂ ($\delta = 0.0$ ppm with negative values upfield). Mass spectra were obtained using a VG ZAB-SE (FAB), a VG Autospec (EI), and a Perkin Elmer Sciex API III triple quadrupole (ESI) mass spectrometer.

 $closo-9,12\mathchar`-(4\mathchar`-C_6H_4)_2\mathchar`-1,2\mathchar`-C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ (3): A solution of methyl magnesium bromide in THF (33 mL, 0.1 mol, 3M) was added to a solution of closo-9,12-I_2-1,2-C_2B_{10}H_{10} (39.6 g, 0.1 mol) in THF (100 mL) at 0 $^\circ \text{C}.$ The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at ambient temperature. A freshly prepared Grignard solution of 4-bromoanisole (50 mL, 0.4 mol) in THF (300 mL) was added followed by the addition of a single portion of [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (2.1 g, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 48 h, and upon completion of the reaction (11B NMR) the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was treated with dilute HCl (5%, 300 mL) at 0°C to destroy excess Grignard reagent. The aqueous mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic phases were reduced in volume (100 mL) under reduced pressure, dried over magnesium sulfate, and filtered through Al₂O₃ using CH₂Cl₂. The solvent of the eluate was removed, and the resulting solid was recrystallized from acetone to yield **3** as colorless crystals (21.4 g, 60%). The supernant solution was dried and the residue was purified by chromatography on Al₂O₃ using CH₂Cl₂/hexanes to yield additional 7.1 g (20 %) of 3. M.p. 176- $178 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.9 - 3.0$ (br, 8H; BH), 3.59 (s, 2H; CH), 3.73 (s, 6H; CH₃), 6.69 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2$ Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.14 (d, $^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 4 \text{ H}; C_{6}H_{4}); {}^{13}C{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR} (126 \text{ MHz}, (CD_{3})_{2}CO): \delta =$ 50.0 (C_{carboranyl}), 54.1 (CH₃), 112.5, 133.7, 158.9 ppm (C₆H₄); ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H$ $(160 \text{ MHz}, (CD_3)_2 \text{CO}): \delta = -15.0 (2 \text{ B}), -12.7 (4 \text{ B}), -8.4 (2 \text{ B}), 8.9 \text{ ppm}$ (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{16}{}^{1}H_{24}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{16}O_{2}$: 356.2779; found: m/z: 356.2778 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 0.1 \text{ mmu}$); IR (KBr pellet): $\tilde{\nu} = 3069, 3064 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (CH). closo-9,10-(4-CH₃O-C₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (8): Compound 8 was prepared in 78% yield by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 3. M.p. 156–158 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.8-3.2$ (br, 8H; BH), 3.67 (s, 2H; CH), 3.69 (s, 6H; CH₃), 6.70 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2$ Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.24 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, 4 \text{ H}; C_{6}H_{4}); {}^{13}C{}^{1}H}$ NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 52.6$ (C_{carboranyl}), 55.1 (CH₃), 113.8, 135.1, 160.2 ppm

(C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = -19.5$ (2B), -12.7 (4B), -7.0 (2B), 1.0 (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{16}{}^{11}H_{24}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{16}O_2$: 356.2779; found: m/z: 356.2780 [M^+] ($\Delta = 0.1$ mmu). **closo-9,12-(4-HOC₆H₄)₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (12)**: A solution of BBr₃ in CH₂Cl₂

(1.12 mL, 1.12 mmol, 1M) was added dropwise to a solution of compound **3** (0.500 g, 1.40 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was dried in vacuo and then quenched slowly using water (30 mL), while maintaining vigorous stirring. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic layer was flashed through a bed of silica gel using ethyl acetate. The filtrate was freed of solvent and recrystallized from diethyl ether to yield **12** as a colorless solid (0.424 g, 94%). M.p. 224–226°C; ¹H NMR

(500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 1.9–2.9 (br, 8H; BH), 4.55 (s, 2 H; CH), 6.56 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.1 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.02 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.1 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.96 ppm (s, 2H; OH); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): δ = 50.7 (C_{carboranyi}), 114.9, 134.8, 157.4 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = -15.0 (2B), -12.7 (4B), -8.4 (2B), 8.8 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₄¹H₂₀¹¹B₁₀¹⁶O₂: 328.2466; found: *m/z*: 328.2474 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 0.8 mmu); IR (KBr pellet): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3064 cm⁻¹ (CH).

closo-9,10-(4-HOC₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (13): Compound 13 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 12 to yield 0.425 g (90%) as a colorless crystalline solid after recrystallization from diethyl ether. M.p. 193–195 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 1.8 – 3.2 (br, 8 H; BH), 3.64 (s, 2 H; CH), 6.61 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.15 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 8.05 ppm (s, 2 H; OH); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 52.4 (C_{carboranyl}), 115.2, 135.2, 157.7 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = −19.4 (2 B), −12.6 (4 B), −6.9 (2 B), 1.3 ppm (2 B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₄¹H₂₀¹¹B₁₀¹⁶O₂: 328.2466; found: *m/z*: 328.2465 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 0.1 mmu).

closo-9,12-(4-CH₃(OCH₂CH₂)₃OC₆H₄)₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (14): A suspension of 12 (0.647 g, 1.97 mmol) and potassium carbonate (1.50 g, 10.86 mmol) in acetone (20 mL) was stirred for 1 h, and then Br(CH2CH2O)3CH3 (2.46 g 10.86 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was then refluxed for 48 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was neutralized with aqueous HCl (10%). The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (8×50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. After removal of the solvent, the remaining residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with diethyl ether and pentane (3:1) to yield 14 as a clear liquid (0.909 g, 74.5%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.9 - 2.9$ (br, 8H; BH), 3.26 $(s, 6H; CH_3), 3.45, 3.56, 3.57, 3.61, 3.75, 4.02 (t, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.0 Hz, 4H; CH_2),$ 4.59 (s, 2H; CH), 6.67 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.3 \text{ Hz}$, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.09 ppm (d, $^{3}J(H,H) = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 4 \text{ H}; C_{6}H_{4}); ^{13}C[^{1}H] \text{ NMR (100 MHz, (CH_{3})_{2}CO): } \delta =$ 51.1 (C_{carboranyl}), 58.9 (CH₃), 67.9, 70.3, 71.0, 71.2, 71.3, 72.6 (CH₂), 114.2, 134.7, 159.2 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -15.5$ (2B), -13.0 (4B), -8.2 (2B), 9.4 (2B); MS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{28}{}^{1}H_{48}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{16}O_8$: 620.4352; found: m/z: 620.4335 $[M^+]$ ($\Delta = 1.7 \text{ mmu}$).

closo-9,12-(C₆H₅)₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (1): Compound 1 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 3, but omitting the preliminary deprotonation with MeMgBr and by using *closo*-9,12-I₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (7.33 g, 18.51 mmol), phenylmagnesium bromide (92.5 mL, 1м in THF), and [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.52 g, 0.714 mmol). Subsequent extractions of the crude product, flash chromatography on Al₂O₃, as well as final recrystallization were performed with diethyl ether to afford 1 as an off-white solid (3.95 g, 72%). M.p. 288–289 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = 1.9 − 3.1 (br, 8H; BH), 4.47 (s, 2H; CH), 6.99, 7.14 ppm (m, 10H; C₆H₅); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = 51.5 (C_{carboranyl}), 127.5, 127.8, 133.8 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, [D₈]THF): δ = -16.4 (2B), −14.1 (4B), −9.6 (2B), 7.2 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₄¹¹B₁₀¹¹B₁₀: 296.2550; found: *mlz*: 296.2566 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 1.6 mmu); IR (KBr pellet): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3069 cm⁻¹ (CH).

 $closo-9,12-(4-CH_3SC_6H_4)_2-1,2-C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ (4): Compound 4 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 3 with closo-9,12-I2-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (2.0 g, 5.0 mmol), methyl magnesium bromide (1.7 mL, 5.0 mmol, 3 m in THF), freshly prepared Grignard of 4-bromothioanisole (10.0 g, 49.2 mmol), and [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.142 g, 0.2 mmol). Subsequent extractions of the crude product and flash chromatography on silica gel were conducted with diethyl ether. Final purification was achieved by chromatography on silica gel with CH₂Cl₂ and hexanes (1:1). Recrystallization from the same solvent mixture provided 4 as colorless crystals (1.32 g, 73 %); M.p. $193 - 195 \degree$ C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.8 - 3.1$ (br, 8H; BH), 2.42 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.64 (s, 2H; CH), 7.02 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.2 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.13 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2$ Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 15.7$ (CH₃), 49.3 (C_{carboranyl}), 125.6, 133.5, 137 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -14.9$ (2B), -12.7 (4B), -8.5 (2B), 8.3 (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{16}{}^{11}H_{24}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{32}S_2$: 388.2332; found: m/z: 388.2326 $[M^+]$ ($\Delta = 0.6$ mmu).

closo-9,12-[4-CH₃S(O)C₆H₄)]₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (15): A solution of CAN (2.93 g, 5.35 mmol) in water (20 mL) was added to a solution of bisthioether 4 (0.50 g, 1.29 mmol) and $[(nBu)_4N]Br$ (33 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred until the yellow color disappeared (6 to 8 h). The organic layer was

separated, and the aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried under reduced pressure. The solid residue was redissolved in Et₂O and washed thoroughly with water (4 × 25 mL). The ethereal phase was dried to yield **15** as a waxy solid (0.50 g, 92%). M.p. 78–79°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.7-3.2$ (br, 8H; BH), 2.56 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.87 (s, 2H; C_{carboranyl}-H), 7.28 ppm (m, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 43.6$ (CH₃), 50.7 (C_{carboranyl}), 122.4, 133.8, 144.0 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -13.8$ (brm, 6B), -8.6 (2B), 6.8 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₆¹H₂₄¹¹B₁₀³³S₂¹⁶O₂: 420.2230; found: *m/z*: 420.2228 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 0.2$ mmu).

closo-9,12-[4-CH₃S(O)₂C₆H₄)]₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (16): Reactions of 4 with two equivalents of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid and manganese dioxide, respectively, carried out in accordance with published procedures,^[25] generated mixtures of 15 and 16. Pure 16 was obtained by chromatography on silica (hexanes/ethyl acetate 1:1) in 10 and 20% yield, respectively. M.p. 252 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.7 - 3.2$ (br, 8H; BH), 2.97 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.81 (s, 2H; C_{carboranyl}-H), 7.37 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.66 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); 1³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -15.6$ (br, 2B), -13.7 (br, 4B), -9.2 (s, 2B), 7.0 ppm (s, 2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₆¹H₂₄¹¹B₁₀³²S₂¹⁶O₄: 453.2098; found: *m/z*: 453.2095 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 0.3 mmu).

closo-9,12-(4-(CH₃)₂NC₆H₄)₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (5): Compound 5 was prepared following the procedure described for the synthesis of 3 by using *closo*-9,12-I₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (11.0 g, 27.8 mmol), methyl magnesium bromide (9.2 mL, 27.9 mmol, 3 м in THF), freshly prepared Grignard of 4-bromo-*N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (25.0 g, 124.9 mmol), and [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.11 g, 0.156 mmol). Subsequent extractions of the crude product, flash chromatography on Al₂O₃, as well as final recrystallization were performed with ethyl acetate to afford **5** as an off white solid (8.09 g 76%). M.p. 280°C (decomp); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 1.8–3.1 (br, 8H; BH), 4.71 (s, 2H; CH), 4.85 (s, 12H; CH₃), 7.40 ppm (m, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 47.4 (CH₃), 53.6 (C_{carborany1}), 120.4, 136.0, 143.2 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = -13.4 (br, 6B), -9.4 (2B), 6.4 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₈¹H₃₀¹¹B₁₀¹⁴N₂: 382.3421; found: *m/z*: 382.3415 [*M*⁺] (Δ=0.6 mmu).

closo-9,10-(4-(CH₃)₂NC₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (9): Compound 9 was prepared and purified by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **5** to afford colorless crystals (82%). M.p. 195–196°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 1.8–3.1 (br, 8H; BH), 2.84 (s, 12H; CH₃), 3.58 (s, 2H; CH), 6.83 ppm (m, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 40.4 (CH₃), 51.9 (C_{carboranyl}), 112.7, 134.8, 150.9 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = –19.5 (2B), –12.4 (4B), –6.7 (2B), 1.8 ppm (2B); HRMS (FAB, positive mode): calcd for ¹²C₁₈¹H₃₀¹¹B₁₀¹⁴N₂: 382.3421; found: *m/z*: 382.3421 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 0.0 mmu).

 $closo-9,12-(4-ClC_6H_4)_2-1,2-C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ (6): Compound 6 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 3 with closo-9,12-I₂-1,2- $C_2B_{10}H_{10}$ (0.20 g, 0.51 mmol), methyl magnesium bromide (0.17 mL, 0.51 mmol, 3м in THF), 4-chloro-phenylmagnesium bromide (4.0 mL, 1м in diethyl ether), and [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.01 g, 0.014 mmol). After standard workup, the crude product was flashed through a bed of basic aluminum oxide, with toluene as the eluting solvent, followed by silica gel chromatography, with pentane and diethyl ether (5:1) eluting solvent, to vield **6** as a colorless solid (0.146 g, 81%). M.p. 140–143 °C: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $(CD_3)_2CO$): $\delta = 1.8 - 3.1$ (br, 8H; BH), 4.74 (s, 2H; CH), 7.1 ppm (m, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 49.7$ $(C_{carboranyl})$, 127.7, 133.7, 134.3 ppm (C_6H_4) ; ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = -16.8$ (2B), -14.4 (4B), -9.7 (2B), 6.8 ppm (2B); HRMS (FAB, positive mode): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{14}{}^{1}H_{18}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{35}Cl_2$: 365.1752; found: m/z: 365.1760 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 0.8 \text{ mmu}$); IR (KBr pellet): $\tilde{\nu} = 3067$ (CH), 2820 cm⁻¹ (BH).

closo-9,10-(4-ClC₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (10): Compound 10 was prepared and purified by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 6 to yield 10 as a white solid (yield 88%). M.p. 152–153 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 1.8–3.1 (br, 8H; BH), 3.82 (s, 2H; CH), 7.2 ppm (m, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 53.4 (C_{carboranyl}), 128.3, 134.1, 135.5 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, THF): δ = −18.5 (2B), −12.2 (4B), −6.5 (2B), 0.8 ppm (2B); HRMS (FAB, positive mode): calcd for ¹²C₁₄¹H₁₈¹¹B₁₀³⁵Cl₂: 365.1752; found: *m*/*z*: 365.1765 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 1.3 mmu).

closo-9,12-(4-CH₃C₆H₄)₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (2): Compound 2 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **3**, but omitting the preliminary deprotonation, with MeMgBr *Closo*-9,12-I₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (7.33 g, 18.51 mmol), tolylmagnesium bromide (92.5 mL, 1_M in THF), and [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.519 g, 0.714 mmol). Subsequent extractions of the crude product, flash chromatography on Al₂O₃, as well as final recrystallization from a toluene/pentane mixture afforded **2** as colorless crystals (4.56 g, 76 %). M.p. 280 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 1.8 – 3.1 (br, 8 H; BH), 2.17 (s, 6H; CH₃), 4.62 (s, 2H; CH), 6.88 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.8 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = -15.2 (2B), -12.6 (4B), -8.0 (2B), 9.2 (2B); HRMS (E1): calcd for ¹²C₁₆¹H₂₄¹¹B₁₀: 324.2889; found: *m/z*: 324.2888 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 0.1 mmu).

closo-9,10-(4-CH₃C₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (7): Compound 7 was prepared and purified by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **2** to afford colorless crystals (25 mg, 78%). M.p. 158 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.9-3.2$ (br, 8H; BH), 2.19 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.70 (s, 2H; CH), 6.93 (d, ³J(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.23 ppm (d, ³J(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.23 ppm (d, ³J(H,H) = 7.9 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 21.1$ (CH₃), 52.8 (C_{carboranyl}), 128.9, 113.9, 137.2 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = -19.1$ (2B), -12.2 (4B), -6.4 (2B), 1.8 ppm (2B); HRMS (FAB, positive mode): calcd for ¹²C₁₆¹H₂₄¹¹B₁₀: 324.2881; found: *m/z*: 324.2865 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 1.6 mmu).

closo-9,10-(4-CH₃C[(OCH₂)₂]C₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (11): Compound 11 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 3 with closo-9,12-I₂-1,2-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (200 mg, 0.50 mmol), methyl magnesium bromide (0.17 mL, 0.50 mmol, 3 M in THF), freshly prepared Grignard of 4-bromoacetophenone ethylene ketal (1.12 g, 4.61 mmol),^[14] and [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (10 mg, 0.014 mmol). The reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous NaHCO3 solution. The organic phase was separated from the mixture, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. The solvent was removed, and the residue was filtered through a bed of basic Al₂O₃ with toluene as the eluting solvent and subsequently purified by chromatography on basic Al2O3 with pentane and diethyl ether (4:1) to yield 11 as a white solid (150 mg, 63 %). M.p. 148-150 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $(CD_3)_2CO$): $\delta = 1.48$ (s, 6H; CH₃), 1.9–3.2 (br, 8H; BH), 3.65 (m, 4H; CH₂), 3.78 (s, 2H; CH), 3.94 (m, 4H; CH₂), 7.21 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.32 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.0$ Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 27.8$ (CH₃), 53.2 (C_{carboranyl}), 64.9 (CH₂), 109.2 (OCO), 125.0, 133.8, 143.4 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, $(CD_3)_2CO$: $\delta = -19.3 (2B), -12.9 (4B), -7.2 (2B), 0.4 (2B)$; HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{22}{}^{11}H_{32}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{16}O_4$: 468.3315; found: m/z: 468.3257 $[M^+]$ ($\Delta =$ 5.8 mmu).

closo-9,10-(4-CH₃CO-C₆H₄)₂-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (18): 20% HCl (10 mL) was added to a solution of 11 (100 mg, 0.213 mmol) in THF (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 h. Water (20 mL) and diethyl ether (20 mL) were added with stirring. The organic phase was separated from the mixture, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. The solvent was removed, and the resulting residue was triturated with diethyl ether to give 18 as a white solid (72 mg, 89 %). M.p. 176 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.9 - 3.2$ (br, 8H; BH), 2.48 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.89 (s, 2H; CH), 7.48 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.2 Hz, 4H; C_6H_4), 7.74 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2$ Hz, 4H; C_6H_4); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 25.7$ (CH₃), 52.8 (C_{carboranyl}), 127.1, 133.2, 136.2 (C₆H₄), 196.9 ppm (CO); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = -17.7$ (2B), -11.6 (4B), -6.2 (2B), 1.1 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{18}{}^{11}H_{24}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{16}O_2$: 380.2789: found: m/z: 380.2784 $[M^+]$ ($\Delta = 0.5 \text{ mmu}$); IR (KBr pellet) $\tilde{\nu} = 3064$ (CH), 1688, 1675 cm⁻¹ (C=O).

closo-9,10-(4-CH₃COOC₆H₄)2-1,7-C₂B₁₀H₁₀ (17): Neat acetic anhydride (0.10 mL, 1.08 mmol) was added to a suspension of 13 (52 mg, 0.158 mmol) in THF (30 mL) and NEt₃ (0.21 mL, 1.50 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, and afterwards all volatiles were removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed three times with water and dried, and the residue was recrystallized from diethyl ether to yield 17 as a white solid (48 mg, 74%). M.p. 273–275 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.9–3.2 (br, 8H; BH), 2.24 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.65 (s, 2H; CH), 6.83 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.19 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz,

2740 —

CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.2$ (CH₃), 49.3 (C_{carboranyi}), 129.2, 133.9, 150.1 (C₆H₄), 169.5 ppm (CO); ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -16.2$ (2B), -13.9 (4B), -9.2 (2B), 7.4 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₁₈¹H₂₄¹¹B₁₀¹⁶O₄: 412.2687; found: *m/z*: 412.2694 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 0.7$ mmu).

1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)-9,12-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-carborane (19): n-Butyllithium (6.8 mL, 16.44 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of 3 (5.86 g, 16.44 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0°C. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (2.97 g, 19.73 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for a further 8 h. The solution was quenched with brine and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to flash silica gel chromatography with CH₂Cl₂ and pentane (1:1) as the eluting solvent to yield 19 (7.54 g, 97.5 %) as a waxy white solid. M.p. 131 - 134 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.29$ (s, 6H; SiCH₃), 1.06 (s, 9H; CCH₃), 1.9-3.0 (br, 8H; BH), 3.52 (s, 1H; CH), 3.73, 3.74 (s, 3H; OCH₃), 6.68, 6.69 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6$ Hz, 2H; C₆H₄), 7.13, 7.16 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}; C_{6}H_{4}); {}^{13}C{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_{3}): } \delta = -4.4$ (SiCH₃), 19.4 (SiC), 27.0 (CCH₃), 54.6 (CC_{carboranyl}), 54.9 (CH₃), 58.6 $(SiC_{carboranyl}), \ 112.8, \ 133.8, \ 133.9, \ 158.8 \ ppm \ (C_6H_4); \ {}^{11}B\{{}^{1}H\} \ NMR$ (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -13.1$ (2B), -10.5 (2B), -9.5 (2B), -5.8(2B), 9.9 (1B), 11.9 ppm (1B), HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{22}{}^{1}H_{38}{}^{11}B_{10}{}^{16}O_{2}{}^{28}Si$: 470.3657; found: m/z: 470.3640 $[M^+]$ ($\Delta = 1.7$ mmu).

1,3-Bis(2'-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboranyl)propane (20 a): n-Butyllithium (6.08 mL, 15.21 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of 19 (7.17 g, 15.21 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature 1,3dibromopropane (0.78 mL, 7.68 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h and washed with brine, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to flash silica gel chromatography with CH2Cl2/ pentane (3:1) as the eluting solvent to yield 20a (4.32 g, 57.8%) as a waxy white solid and starting material (2.27 g, 4.81 mmol). Compound 20 a was recovered in an adjusted 84.4 % yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta =$ 0.42 (s, 12H; SiCH₃), 1.12 (s, 18H; CCH₃), 1.9-3.0 (br, 16H; BH), 1.97 (m, 2H; CH₂), 2.53 (t, ³J(H,H) = 8.2 Hz, 4H; CCH₂), 3.67, 3.68 (s, 6H; CH₃), 6.64, 6.66 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6$ Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.10, 7.11 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) =$ 8.6 Hz, 4 H; C₆H₄); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = -1.6$ (SiCH₃), 21.6 (SiC), 28.5 (CCH₃), 32.8 (CH₂), 37.1 (CCH₂), 55.66, 55.68 (CH₃), 70.2 (SiC_{carboranyl}), 76.9 (CC_{carboranyl}), 114.15, 114.2, 135.1, 135.4, 160.5, 160.6 ppm (C_6H_4) ; ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -9.3$ (12B), -6.6 (4B), 7.9 (2B), 11.5 ppm (2B); MS (negative ion FAB): calcd for $C_{47}H_{80}B_{20}O_4Si_2$: 981.49; found: *m*/*z*: 980.84 [*M* – H⁺].

1,3-Bis(9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboranyl)propane (20b): Compound 20 a (3.54 g, 3.61 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL) and cooled to -78°С. А 1.0м solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (7.57 mL, 7.57 mmol) was added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 min. Brine (50 mL) and diethyl ether (30 mL) were added; the aqueous layer was separated and washed with diethyl ether (2 $\times\,50$ mL). Then the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate, and the solvent was removed. The residue was subjected to flash silica gel chromatography with CH₂Cl₂/pentane (3:1) as the eluting solvent to yield 20b (2.17 g, 80.1%) as a white solid. M.p. 106-109 °C; ¹H (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.90$ (m, 2H; CH₃), 1.9–2.9 (br, 16H; BH), 2.54 (t, 4H; ³J(H,H) = 8.5 Hz, CCH₂), 3.67, 3.68 (s, 6H; CH₃), 4.76 (s, 2H; CH), 6.64, 6.66 (d, 4H; ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.7$ Hz, C₆H₄) 7.07, 7.10 ppm $(d, 4H; {}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, C_{6}H_{4}); {}^{13}C{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR} (126 \text{ MHz}, (CD_{3})_{2}CO): \delta =$ 29.4 (CH₂), 36.4 (CCH₂), 55.1 (CH₃), 55.9 (HC_{carboranyl}), 68.2 (CC_{carboranyl}), 113.0, 113.1, 134.1, 134.3, 159.1, 159.2 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, $(CH_3)_2CO$): $\delta = -13.2$ (12B), -9.2 (4B), 5.2 (2B), 8.0 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ${}^{12}C_{35}{}^{11}H_{52}{}^{11}B_{20}{}^{16}O_4$: 753.5863; found: m/z: 753.5876 $[M^+]$ ($\Delta =$ 1.3 mmu).

Synthesis of cyclic tetramer 27a and cyclic hexamer 27b: *n*-Butyllithium (2.65 mL, 6.64 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of **20b** (2.38 g, 3.16 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 4 h, 1,3-dibromopropane (0.32 mL, 3.16 mmol) was added, and the solution was refluxed for 12 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) and washed with water (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic phase was washed with water and dried over magnesium sulfate. Removal

of the solvent afforded a slightly yellow solid, which was purified by crystallization from acetone yielding 27a (1.73 g, 69%) and traces of the cyclic hexamer 27b.

27 a: M.p. >300 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.92$ (br, 8H; CH₂), 2.0–2.9 (br, 32H; BH), 2.60 (br, 16H; CCH₂), 3.67 (s, 24H; CH₃), 6.63 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 16H; C₆H₄), 7.07 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 16H; C₆H₄); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 31.7$ (CH₂), 33.8 (CCH₂), 55.2 (CH₃), 74.1 (C_{carboranyl}), 113.7, 134.9, 160.1 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -9.7$ (32B), 7.2 ppm (8B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₁₁₄H₁₆₈B₆₀O₁₂: 1586.1; found: *m*/*z*: 1586.4 [*M*⁺].

27b: MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for $C_{114}H_{168}B_{60}O_{12}$: 2379.8; found: m/z: 2379.81 [M^+].

Synthesis of octahydroxy cyclic tetramer 31 a: BBr₃ (17.55 mL, 17.55 mmol, 1M in CH₂Cl₂) was added dropwise to a solution of **27 a** (1.16 g, 0.73 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 12 h the solvent was removed, the residue was quenched slowly with water (10 mL), and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 20 min. The resulting solid was then filtered, washed with water (3 × 15 mL), and finally crystallized from acetone to yield **31 a** (0.86 g, 80 %) as a colorless crystalline solid. M.p. > 300 °C; ¹H (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.91$ (br, 8 H; CH₂), 1.9–2.9 (br, 32 H; BH), 2.53 (br, 16 H; CcH₂), 6.56 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 16 H; C₆H₄), 7.00 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 16 H; C₆H₄), 7.01 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 16 H; C₆H₄), 115.0 H32, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 31.9$ (CH₂), 33.8 (CCH₂), 74.2 (C_{carboranyl}), 115.0, 134.9, 157.4 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -9.0$ (32 B), 8.0 ppm (8 B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₆₈H₉₆B₄₀O₈: 1474.1; found: *m*/*z*: 1473.9 [*M*⁺],.

Synthesis of water-soluble cyclic tetramer 32a: n-Butyllithium (0.337 mL, 0.842 mmol. 2.5 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of **31a** (0.146 g, 0.099 mmol) in DMSO (20 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring this mixture for 12 h, 1,3-sultone (0.074 mL, 0.842 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 days. The solvent was then removed, and the residue was triturated with acetone to give a yellowish solid, which was subjected to RP-HPLC (Beckman System Gold, 168 detector, 126 pump; C18-Dynamax-150a) under a H₂O/CH₃CN solvent gradient to recover 32 a (0.091 g, 37%) as a white solid. M.p. $>300\,^\circ\mathrm{C};\ ^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.8$ (br, 8H; CH₂), 1.9–2.9 (br, 32H; BH), 2.18 (m, 16H; $CH_2CH_2SO_3Li$), 2.4 (br, 16H; CCH₂), 2.94 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.7$ Hz, 16H; $CH_2SO_3Li)$, 4.01 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.2 Hz$, 16H; $CH_2CH_2CH_2SO_3Li)$, 6.64, 7.05 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.4$ Hz, 16H; C₆H₄); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃OD): $\delta = 26.5$ (CH₂CH₂SO₃Li), 32.3 (CH₂), 34.1 (CCH₂), 62.0 (CH2SO3Li), 67.5 (OCH2CH2CH2SO3Li), 74.4 (Ccarboranyl), 114.6, 135.4, 159.8 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, D₂O): $\delta = -10.3$ (32 B), 6.1 ppm (8B). MS (negative mode electrospray, CH₃CN/H₂O 1:1): calcd for $C_{92}H_{144}B_{40}O_{22}S_8$:^[37] 611.8, 815.8; found: m/z: 611.9 $[M+4H]^{4-}$, 815.9 $[M+3H^+]^{5-}$

1-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)-9,12-diphenyl-1,2-carborane (25): Compound 25 was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **19** to yield 6.79 g (98%) as a waxy white solid. ¹H (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.33 (s, 6 H; SiCH₃), 1.11 (s, 9 H; CCH₃), 1.9 – 3.2 (br, 8 H; BH), 3.58 (s, 1 H; CH), 7.17 (m, 6 H; C₆H₅), 7.29 ppm (m, 4 H; C₆H₅); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = – 4.4 (SiCH₃), 19.3 (SiC), 27.0 (CCH₃), 55.2 (HC_{carboranyl}), 59.3 (SiC_{carboranyl}), 126.81, 126.84, 127.1, 132.7, 132.8 ppm (C₆H₅); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = – 14.6 (2B), – 12.3 (2B), – 10.7 (2B), – 6.8 (2B), 8.6 (1B), 10.5 ppm (1B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₂₀¹H₃₄¹¹B₁₀²⁸Si: 410.3444; found: *m/z*: 410.3447 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 0.3 mmu).

1,4-Bis(2'-[*tert***-butyldimethylsilyl]-9',12'-diphenyl-1',2'-carboranyl)butane** (26 a): Compound 26 a was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 20 a to yield 5.71 g (82 %) as a waxy white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 0.44$ (s, 12 H; SiCH₃), 1.13 (s, 18 H; CCH₃), 1.9–3.0 (br, 16 H; BH), 1.69 (m, 4H; CH₂), 2.51 (m, 4H; CCH₂), 7.04–7.08 (m, 12 H; C₆H₅), 7.19 ppm (m, 6H; C₆H₅); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 2.2$ (SiCH₃), 21.0 (SiC), 27.9 (CCH₃), 30.8 (CH₂), 37.3 (CCH₂), 70.7 (SiC_{carboranyl}), 77.7 (CC_{carboranyl}), 127.5, 127.6, 127.8, 127.9, 133.4, 133.7 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = (10.1 (12 B))$, 7.2 (4B), 6.8 (2 B), 10.3 ppm (2B); HRMS (negative ion FAB): calcd for ¹²C₄₄¹H₇₄¹¹B₂₀²⁸Si₂: 875.7335; found: *m/z*: 875.7330 [*M*⁺], (Δ = 0.5 mmu).

1,4-Bis(9',12'-diphenyl-1',2'-carboranyl)butane (26b): Compound **26b** was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **20b** to yield 3.34 g (98%) as a waxy white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.65$ (m, 4H; CH₂), 1.9–3.0 (br, 16H; BH), 2.51 (m, 4H; CCH₂), 7.05

FULL PAPER

(m, 6H; C₆H₅), 7.16 ppm (m, 4H; C₆H₅); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 29.8$ (CH₂), 37.3 (CCH₂), 57.9 (HC_{carboranyl}), 71.2 (CC_{carboranyl}), 127.47, 127.50, 127.7, 133.6, 133.9 ppm (C₆H₅); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -12.3$ (8B), -10.7 (4B), -8.6 (4B), 5.7 (2B), 8.5 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₃₂¹H₄₆¹¹B₂₀: 647.5594; found: *m*/*z*: 647.5576 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 1.8$ mmu).

Synthesis of cyclic tetramer 28 a and cyclic hexamer 28b: *n*-Butyllithium (3.09 mL, 7.72 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane was added dropwise to a solution of 26b (2.38 g, 3.67 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, 1,4-dibromobutane (0.44 mL, 3.67 mmol) was added, and the solution was refluxed for 2 days. The reaction mixture was then washed with brine, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3×50 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum providing a slightly yellow solid which was recrystallized in acetone to give 2.03 g (79%) of crystalline 28 a and traces of 28b.

28a: M.p. > 300 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.92 (m, 8H; CH₂), 2.0-2.9 (br, 32H; BH), 2.24 (br, t, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 16H; CCH₂), 3.67 (s, 24H; CH₃), 7.12 (m, 24H; C₆H₄), 7.20 ppm (m, 16H; C₆H₄); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 30.3 (CH₂), 34.2 (CCH₂), 72.2 (C_{carboranyl}), 127.4, 127.5, 133.1 ppm (C₆H₃); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): δ = -10.5 (32 B), 6.4 ppm (8B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₇₂H₁₀₄B₄₀: 1402.1; found: *m*/*z*: 1403.1 [*M*⁺+H].

28b: MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for $C_{108}H_{156}B_{60}$: 2103.1; found: m/z: 2104.1 [M^+ +H],

 $1, 6-Bis (2'-({\it tert-butyl dimethyl silyl})-9', 12'-di (4-methoxyphenyl)-1', 2'-carbor-10, 12'-carbor-10, 12'-di (4-methoxyphenyl)-1', 2'-carbor-10, 12'-10, 12'-di (4-methoxyphenyl)-1', 12'-10,$ anyl)hexane (21 a): n-Butyllithium (0.92 mL, 2.29 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of 19 (1.03 g, 2.18 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0°C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 4 h, after which 1,6-dibromohexane (0.18 mL, 1.13 mmol) was added. After refluxing for 8 h, the solution was washed with brine, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was subjected to flash silica gel chromatography with CH₂Cl₂/pentane (3:1) as the eluting solvent to yield **21a** as a white solid (0.91 g, 81 %). M.p. 218-220 °C; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, (\text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 0.36 (s, 12 \text{ H}; \text{SiCH}_3), 1.09 (s, 18 \text{ H}; \text{CCH}_3), 1.25 (br,$ 4H; CH₂), 1.55 (br, 4H; CH₂), 2.26 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.4$ Hz, 4H; CCH₂), 1.9-3.0 (br, 16H; BH), 3.72, 3.73 (s, 3H; CH₃), 6.67, 6.68 (d, 4H; ³J(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, C_6H_4), 7.13, 7.14 ppm (d, 4H; ${}^3J(H,H) = 8.7$ Hz, C_6H_4); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -2.1$ (SiCH₃), 20.6 (SiC), 27.8 (CCH₃), 29.3, 30.5 (CH₂), 37.2 (CCH₂), 55.1, 55.12 (CH₃), 68.7(SiC_{carboranyl}), 75.7 (CC_{carbo} anvl), 113.01, 113.02, 133.9, 134.3, 158.9, 159.0 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR $(160 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = -10.2 (12 \text{ B}), -7.2 (4 \text{ B}), 6.7 (2 \text{ B}), 10.3 (2 \text{ B}); \text{ MS}$ (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₅₀H₈₆B₂₀O₄Si₂ 1023.6; found: m/z: 1024.0 $[M^+].$

1,6-Bis(9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboranyl)hexane (21b): Compound **21b** was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **20b** to yield 0.26 g (90%) as a white solid. ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.29$ (m, 4H; CH₂), 1.52 (m, 4H; CH₂), 2.28 (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; CCH₂), 1.9–3.0 (br, 16H; BH), 3.60 (s, 2H; CH), 3.72, 3.73 (s, 3H; CH₃), 6.67, 6.69 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.12, 7.14 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 28.8$, 29.5 (CH₂), 37.5 (CCH₂), 55.12, 55.13 (CH₃), 55.8 (HC_{carboranyl}), 69.5 (CC_{carboranyl}), 113.07, 113.08, 134.17, 134.39, 159.10, 159.12 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -12.9$ (12B), -9.0 (4B), 5.2 (2B), 8.1 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₃₈¹H₅₈¹¹B₂₀¹⁶O₄: 795.6335; found: *m/z*: 795.6331 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 0.4$ mmu).

I,8-Bis(2'-(*tert***-butyldimethylsilyl)-9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboranyl)octane (22a)**: Compound **22a** was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **21a** to yield 1.01 g (90%) as a white solid; M.p. 238–240 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CDCl₃): δ =0.36 (s, 12H; SiCH₃), 1.09 (s, 18H; CCH₃), 1.25 (br, 8H; CH₂), 1.53 (br, 4H; CH₂), 1.9–3.0 (br, 16H; BH), 2.26 (t, ³J(H,H) = 8.5 Hz, 4H; CCH₂), 3.72, 3.73 (s, 6H; CH₃), 6.68, 6.71 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.13 ppm (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = –2.1 (SiCH₃), 20.6 (SiC), 27.8 (CCH₃), 29.2, 29.4, 30.5 (CH₂), 37.3 (CCH₂), 55.1, 55.12 (CH₃), 68.8(SiC_{carboranyl}), 76.0 (CC*carboranyl*), 113.0, 113.02, 133.9, 134.3, 158.9, 159.0 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = –10.3

(12 B), -7.3 (4 B), 6.6 (2 B), 10.2 ppm (2 B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for $C_{52}H_{90}B_{20}O_4$ si₂: 1051.6; found: m/z: 1053.1 [M^+ +H].

Synthesis of 1,8-Bis(9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)1',2'-carboranyl)octane (**22b**): Compound **22b** was prepared following the procedure described for the synthesis of **20b** to yield 0.65 g (87%) as a white solid. M.p. 196–198°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.28$ (br, 8H; CH₂), 1.5 (br, 4H; CH₂), 1.9–3.0 (br, 16H; BH), 2.28 (t, ³/(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; CCH₂), 3.60 (s, 2H; CH), 3.73, 3.74 (s, 6H; CH₃), 6.68, 6.70 (d, ³/(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.13, 7.15 ppm (d, ³/(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.0$, 29.1, 29.5 (CH₂), 37.5 (CCH₂), 55.1, 55.12 (CH₃), 55.7 (HC_{carboranyl}), 69.7 (CC_{carboranyl}), 113.0, 134.2, 134.4, 159.06, 159.08 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -13.1$ (12B), -9.1 (4B), 5.1 (2B), 8.1 ppm (2B).

Synthesis of cyclic dimer 29 c and cyclic tetramer 29 a: *n*-Butyllithium (0.51 mL, 1.17 mmol, 2.3 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of 22 b (0.459 g, 0.558 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature 1,8-dibromooctane (0.32 mL, 3.16 mmol) was added, and the solution was refluxed for 2 days. Then the reaction mixture was washed with brine, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3×50 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum providing a white solid which was recrystallized in acetone to give 185 mg (71%) of 29 c and 47 mg (9%) of 29 a.

29 c: ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.34$ (m, 16H; CH₂), 1.58 (m, 8H; CH₂), 1.9–3.1 (br, 16H; BH), 2.25 (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 8H; CCH₂), 3.73 (s, 12 H; CH₃), 6.68 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 8H; C₆H₄), 7.14 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 8H; C₆H₄); ¹³Cl¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 28.2$, 28.9, 29.4 (CH₂), 34.4 (CCH₂), 55.1 (CH₃), 73.9 (C_{carboranyl}), 113.0, 134.3, 159.0 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹Bl¹H} NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -10.0$ (16B), 5.9 ppm (4B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₄₈H₇₆B₂₀O₄: 933.35; found: *m*/*z*: 933.24 [*M*⁺].

29 a: ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.31$ (br, 32 H; CH₂), 1.56 (br, 16 H; CH₂), 1.9–3.1 (br, 32 H; BH), 2.20 (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 16 H; CCH₂), 3.72 (s, 24 H; CH₃), 6.68 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 16 H; C₆H₄), 7.13 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 16 H; C₆H₄); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 29.2$, 29.4, 30.0 (CH₂), 34.6 (CCH₂), 55.1 (CH₃), 73.8 (C_{carboranyl}), 113.1, 134.3, 159.1 ppm (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -10.1$ (16B), 6.1 ppm (4B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₉₆H₁₅₂B₄₀O₈: 1866.70; found: *m*/*z*: 1867.85 [*M*⁺+H].

4,4'-Bis[(2'-(*tert***-butyldimethylsilyl)-9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboranyl)methyl]-4,4'-biphenylene (23 a):** Compound **23 a** was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **21 a**. Final purification was achieved by chromatography on silica gel with CH₂Cl₂/ pentane (3:2) as the eluting solvent to yield **23 a** as a white solid (58 mg, 80 %). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.51$ (s, 12 H; SiCH₃), 1.21 (s, 18 H; CCH₃), 1.9–3.0 (br, 16 H; BH), 3.61 (s, 4H; CCH₂), 3.69, 3.73 (s, 6H; CH₃), 6.62, 6.67 (d, ³/J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; BC₆H₄), 7.03, 7.12 (d, ³/J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; BC₆H₄), 7.03, 7.12 (d, ³/J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; BC₆H₄), 7.26, 7.53 ppm (d, ³/J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 4H; CH₂C₆H₄); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -1.8$ (SiCH₃), 20.8 (SiC), 27.9 (CCH₃), 42.7 (CH₂), 55.04, 55.07 (CH₃), 67.9 (SiC_{carboranyl}), 75.8 (CC_{carboranyl}), 112.9, 112.96, 133.9, 134.3, 158.92, 158.95 (BC₆H₄), 127.5, 130.5, 135.3, 140.2 ppm (CH₂C₆H₄); ¹¹B NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -10.4$ (12 B), -7.5 (4B), 6.8 (2B), 10.3 ppm (2B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₃₈H₈₆B₂₀O₄Si₂: 1119.8; found: *m*/*z*: 1119.6 [*M*⁺].

4,4'-Bis[(9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboranyl)methyl]-4,4'-biphe-

nylene (23b): Compound **23b** was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of **20b** to yield 1.52 g (91%) as a white solid. M.p. 293 – 295 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.9 – 3.0$ (br, 16 H; BH), 3.35 (s, 2 H; CH), 3.67 (s, 4 H; CCH₂), 3.71, 3.73 (s, 6 H; CH₃), 6.66, 6.69 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4 H; BC₆H₄), 7.10, 7.13 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4 H; BC₆H₄), 7.28, 7.61 ppm (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4 H; CH₂), 55.11, 55.13 (CH₃), 54.2 (HC_{carboranyl}), 77.4 (CC_{carboranyl}), 113.06, 113.08, 134.2, 134.4, 159.1, 159.13 (BC₆H₄), 127.8, 130.6, 135.9, 140.4 ppm (CH₂C₆H₄); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -10.4$ (12 B), -7.5 (4 B), 6.8 (2 B), 10.3 ppm (2 B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₄₆¹H₃₈¹¹B₂₀¹⁶O₄: 891.6340; found: *m/z*: 891.6328 [*M*⁺] (Δ = 1.2 mmu).

 α, α' -Bis(2'-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)-9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboraniyl)lutidine (24a): Compound 24a was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 21a with the exception that the time at reflux was extended to 2 days. Purification by chromatography on silica gel with toluene/CH₂Cl₂ (3:1) as the eluting solvent led to 24a as a

2742 —

white solid (0.90 g, 81%). M.p. 246–247°C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 0.58 (s, 12H; SiCH₃), 1.25 (s, 18H; CCH₃), 1.9–3.1 (br, 16H; BH), 3.64, 3.67 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.91 (s, 4H; CH₂), 6.57, 6.64 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 6.99, 7.10 (d, 4³*J*(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, H; C₆H₄), 7.31 (d, 2H; ³*J*(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, C₃H₃N), 7.71 ppm (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, 1H; C₅H₃N); ¹³C[¹H} NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = –1.83 (SiCH₃), 21.2 (SiC), 28.2 (CCH₃), 45.0 (CH₂), 55.0 (CH₃), 68.9 (SiC_{carboranyl}), 75.7 (CC_{carboranyl}), 113.51, 113.53, 134.5, 134.8, 159.8, 159.9 (C₆H₄), 124.8, 138.7, 156.9 ppm (C₅H₃N); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, THF): δ = –10.6 (12 B), –7.8 (4B), 6.6 (2 B), 9.9 ppm (2 B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₅₁H₈₁B₂₀NO₄Si₂: 1044.89; found: *m*/*z*: 1044.6 [*M*⁺].

a,a'-**Bis**(9',12'-di(4-methoxyphenyl)-1',2'-carboraniyl)lutidine (24b): Compound 24b was prepared by following the procedure described for the synthesis of 20b. Purification by chromatography on silica gel with CH₂Cl₂ as the eluting solvent led to 24b as a white solid (683 mg, 99%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.9 - 3.0$ (br, 18H; BH), 3.66, 3.67 (s, 6H; CH₃), 3.94 (s, 4H; CH₂), 4.79 (s, 2H; CH), 6.63, 6.64 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.7 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.06, 7.07 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 8.4 Hz, 4H; C₆H₄), 7.44 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, 2H; C₃H₃N), 7.88 ppm (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, 1H; C₃H₃N); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 44.7$ (CH₂), 55.1 (CH₃), 56.1 (HC_{carboranyl}), 69.5 (CC_{carboranyl}), 113.55, 113.57, 134.7, 134.9, 159.98, 160.0 (C₆H₄), 124.9, 139.3, 156.7 ppm (C₅H₃N); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): $\delta = -11.4$ (12B), -8.9 (4B), 6.6 (2B), 9.4 ppm (2B); HRMS (EI): calcd for ¹²C₃₉¹H₃₀¹¹B₁₀¹⁴N₁¹⁶O₄: 815.6004; found: *m*/*z*: 815.5965 [*M*⁺] ($\Delta = 3.9$ mmu).

Synthesis of cyclic tetramer 30a: *n*-Butyllithium (0.237 mL, 0.592 mmol, 2.5 M in hexane) was added dropwise to a solution of **24b** (230 mg, 0.28 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, α, α' -dibromo-2,6-lutidine (73 mg, 0.28 mmol) was added, and the solution was refluxed for 2 days. Then the reaction mixture was washed with brine, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum providing a white solid, which was recrystallized in CH₂Cl₂/THF to give 52 mg (10%) of **30a**; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 3.65 (s, 24H; CH₃), 6.66, 7.04 (d, ³/(H,H) = 8.1 Hz, 32 H; C₆H₄), 7.25, 7.77 ppm (br, 12H; C₅H₃N); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 43.1 (CH₂), 55.2 (CH₃), 113.7, 134.8, 160.1 (C₆H₄), 125.0, 139.0, 157.0 ppm (C₃H₃N); ¹¹B[¹H] NMR (160 MHz, (CH₃)₂CO): δ = -9.2 (32 B), 7.0 ppm (8B); MS (positive ion FAB): calcd for C₉₂H₁₁₀B₄₀N₄O₈: 1838.28; found: *m*/*z*: 1838.28 [*M*⁺].

X-ray crystallography. A summary of the crystallographic data and details of the structure determinations is given in Tables 1 and 2. Data were collected on a Bruker Smart CCD diffractometer (27 a, 28 b, 30 a) ($Mo_{K\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\theta + 2\theta$ scans), a Syntex- $P\bar{1}$ diffractometer (1, 12, **28a**) (Cu_{Ka} radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å, $\theta - 2\theta$ scans), and a Huber Crystal Logic (3, 6) diffractometer (Mo_{Ka} radiation, $\theta - 2\theta$ scans). Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and for secondary extinction. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least-squares methods based on F^2 (SHELX93 and 86). In all structures atoms were located by use of direct methods. Scattering factors for H were obtained from Stewart et al.[38] and for other atoms were taken from ref. [39] CCDC-202913 (1), CCDC-202914 (3), CCDC-202915 (6), CCDC-202916 (12), CCDC-202917 (27a), CCDC-202918 (28a), CCDC-202919 (28b), CCDC-202920 (30a) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44)1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgement

We wish to gratefully acknowledge the support of this research by the National Science Foundation (NSF) (Grants CHE-9730006, CHE-98713320, and CHE-0111718) and equipment grants CHE-9871332, CHE-9610080, CHE-9808175, CHE-9974928, and CHE-0078299.

P. M. Garrett, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, K. Thorne, J. D. Mackenzie, *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 2723–2725.

- [2] a) R. F. Barth, A. H. Soloway, R. G. Fairchild, *Cancer Res.* 1990, 50, 1061–1070; b) H. Nemoto, J. G. Wilson, H. Nakamura, Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 435; c) M. F. Hawthorne, *Angew. Chem.* 1993, 105, 997–1033; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1993, 32, 950–984; R. F. Barth, A. H. Soloway, R. M. Brugger, *Cancer Invest.* 1996, 14, 534–550.
- [3] a) H. M. Colquhoun, J. A. Daniels, I. R. Stephenson, K. Wade, *Polym. Commun.* 1991, *32*, 272–274; b) D. A. Brown, H. M. Colquhoun, J. A. Daniels, J. A. H. MacBride, I. R. Stephenson, K. Wade, *J. Mater. Chem.* 1992, *2*, 793–804.
- [4] J. Plešek, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 269-278.
- [5] a) D. M. Murphy, D. M. P. Mingos, J. M. Forward, J. Mater. Chem. 1993, 3, 67–76; b) D. M. Murphy, D. M. P. Mingos, J. L. Hagitt, H. R. Powell, S. A. Westcott, T. B. Marder, N. J. Taylor, D. R. Kanis, J. Mater. Chem. 1993, 3, 139–148.
- [6] a) F. Teixidor, M. A. Flores, C. Viñas, R. Kivekäs, R. Sillanpää, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 2388-2389; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2251-2253; b) H. C. Kang, M. F. Hawthorne, Organometallics 1990, 9, 2327-2332, and references therein; c) M. F. Hawthorne in Advances in Boron and the Boranes (Eds.: J. F. Liebman, A. Greenberg, R. E. Williams), VCH, New York, 1988, chapter 10, p. 225; d) A. Demonceau, E. Saive, Y. de Froidmont, A. F. Noels, A. J. Hubert, I. T. Chizhevsky, I. A. Lobanova, V. I. Bregadze, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2009-2012; e) A. Demonceau, F. Simal, A. F. Noels, C. Viñas, R. Núñez, F. Teixidor, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7879-7882.
- [7] a) C. Viñas, S. Gomez, J. Bertran, F. Teixidor, J. F. Dozol, H. Roquette, *Chem. Commun.* 1998, 191–192; b) C. Viñas, S. Gomez, J. Bertran, F. Teixidor, J. F. Dozol, H. Roquette, *Inorg. Chem.* 1998, 37, 3640–3643; c) C. Viñas, J. Bertran, S. Gomez, F. Teixidor, J. F. Dozol, H. Roquette, R. Kivekäs, R. Sillanpää, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 1998, 2849– 2853.
- [8] a) R. J. Paxton, B. G. Beatty, M. F. Hawthorne, A. Varadarajan, L. E. Williams, F. L. Curtis, C. B. Knobler, J. D. Beatty, J. E. Shively, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1991**, *88*, 3387–3391; b) R. N. Grimes, *Angew. Chem.* **1993**, *105*, 1350–1352; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 1289–1290.
- [9] a) W. Clegg, W. R. Gill, J. A. H. MacBride, K. Wade, Angew. Chem.
 1993, 105, 1402-1404; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1328-1329; b) I. T. Chizhevsky, S. E. Johnson, C. B. Knobler, F. A. Gomez, M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6981-6982; c) D. Armspach, M. Cattalini, E. C. Constable, C. E. Housecroft, D. Philips, Chem. Commun. 1996, 1823-1824.
- [10] M. F. Hawthorne, Z. Zheng, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1997**, *30*, 267–276, and references therein.
- [11] L. A. Leites, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 279-323.
- [12] a) A. A. Zinn, C. B. Knobler, D. E. Harwell, M. F. Hawthorne, *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 2227–2230; b) H. Lee, M. Diaz, M. F. Hawthorne, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 7651–7655; c) H. Lee, M. Diaz, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, *Angew. Chem.* **2000**, *112*, 792–794; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 776–778.
- [13] W. Jiang, I. T. Chizhevsky, M. D. Mortimer, W. Chen, C. B. Knobler, S. E. Johnson, F. A. Gomez, M. F. Hawthorne, *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, 35, 5417–5426.
- [14] C. Songkram, R. Yamasaki, A. Tanatani, K. Takaishi, K. Yamaguchi, H. Kagechika, Y. Endo, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 5913–5916.
- [15] a) X. Yang, W. Jiang, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9719-9721; b) W. Jiang, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 3056-3058; c) W. Jiang, D. E. Harwell, M. D. Mortimer, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 4355-4359.
- [16] R. N. Grimes, Carboranes, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [17] a) V. I. Bregadze, *Chem. Rev.* 1992, 92, 209, and references therein;
 b) V. V. Grushin, V. I. Bregadze, V. N. Kalinin, *Organometallic Chemistry Reviews* (Eds.: A. G. Davies, E. O. Fischer, O. A. Reutov), Elsevier Science, Dordrecht, 1988, pp. 1–68.
- [18] a) M. F. Hawthorne, A. Varadarajan, C. B. Knobler, S. Chakrabarti, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5365-5366; b) R. J. Paxton, B. G. Beatty, M. F. Hawthorne, A. Varadarajan, L. E. Williams, F. L. Curtis, C. B. Knobler, J. D. Beatty, J. E. Shively, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1991, 88, 3387-3391; c) A. Varadarajan, S. E. Johnson, F. A. Gomez, S.

a) L. G. Sneddon, M. G. L. Mirabelli, A. T. Lynch, P. J. Fazen, K. Su, J. S. Beck, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1991**, *63*, 407–410; b) T. D. Getman,

FULL PAPER

- [19] a) M. Kumada, K. Tamao, K. Sumitani, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4374–4376; b) M. Kumada, K. Tamao, K. Sumitani, Y. Kiso, M. Zembayashi, A. Fujioka, S.-I. Kodama, I. Nakajima, A. Minato, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1976, 49, 1958–1969; c) J. Li, C. F. Logan, M. Jones, Jr., Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 4866–4868; d) L. I. Zakharkin, A. I. Kovredov, V. A. Ol'shevskaya, Zh. S. Shaugumbekova, J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 226, 217–222.
- [20] a) Z. Zheng, W. Jiang, A. A. Zinn, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 2095; b) G. Harakas, T. Vu, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6405–6406; c) H. Lee, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 2485–2486.
- [21] W. Chen, M. Diaz, J. J. Rockwell, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. IIc 2000, 3, 223–239.
- [22] K. Yamamoto, Y. Endo, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 2389– 2392.
- [23] a) R. A. LeMahieu, M. Carson, R. J. Han, W. C. Nason, M. O'Donnell, D. L. Brown, H. J. Crowley, A. F. Welton, *J. Med. Chem.* **1987**, *30*, 173–178; b) C. D. Perchonock, I. Uzinskas, M. E. McCarthy, K. F. Erhard, J. G. Gleason, M. A. Wasserman, R. M. Muccitelli, J. F. DeVan, S. S. Tucker, *J. Med. Chem.* **1986**, *29*, 1442–1452.
- [24] a) J. E. McMurry, M. D. Erison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2712– 2720; b) R. C. Larock, Comprehensive Organic Transformations, VCH, New York, 1989; c) T. W. Greene, P. G. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1991; d) M. B. Smith, Organic Synthesis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1994.
- [25] J. Drabowicz, M. Mikolajczyk, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1982, 14, 45-89.
- [26] D. P. Riley, M. R. Smith, P. E. Correa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 177–180.

- [27] E. Hardegger, R. M. Montavon, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1946, 29, 1199– 1203.
- [28] a) M. J. Hardie, C. L. Raston, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 1999, 195–200;
 b) L. Crâciun, R. Custelcean, *Inorg. Chem.* 1999, 38, 4916–4919;
 c) P. C. Andrews, M. J. Hardie, C. L. Raston, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1999, 189, 169–198;
 d) G. R. Desiraju, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2002, 35, 565–573.
- [29] R. J. Blanch, M. Williams, G. D. Fallon, M. G. Gardiner, R. Kaddour, C. L. Raston, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 520–522; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 504–506.
- [30] a) F. A. Gomez, S. E. Johnson, M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1991, 113, 5915-5917; b) F. A. Gomez, M. F. Hawthorne, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1384-1390.
- [31] a) L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed., Cornell University Press, Ithaca, **1960**; b) A. Bondi, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1964**, 68, 441-451.
- [32] W. Baker, K. M. Buggle, J. F. W. McOmie, D. A. M. Watkins, J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 3594–3603.
- [33] K. Maruoka, T. Ito, Y. Araki, T. Shirasaka, H. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 2975 – 2976.
- [34] G. A. Russel, S. A. Weiner, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 248-251.
- [35] A. N. Cammidge, H. Gopee, Chem. Commun. 2002, 966-967.
- [36] a) U. Horn, H. U. Berendt, P. Liechti, H. Wegmüller, *Ger. Offen.* 1977
 [*Chem. Abstr.* 1977, 87, 119188]; b) U. Horn, H. U. Berendt, P. Liechti,
 H. Wegmüller, *Patentschrift (Switz.)* 1978 [*Chem. Abstr.* 1978, 90, 127533].
- [37] Conclusive FAB-MS of 32a could not be obtained. For the purpose of electrospray analysis the compound was converted to the corresponding acid via ion exchange using AG 50W-X8 Resin (50–100 mesh) hydrogen form (Bio-Rad).
- [38] R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175–3183.
- [39] J. A. Ibers, W. C. Hamilton, *The International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. IV, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974.

Received: February 4, 2003 [F4803]