Diastereoselectivity in the Carroll rearrangement of β-keto esters of tertiary allylic alcohols

Michael E. Jung* and Brian A. Duclos

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA

Received 26 September 2003; revised 13 October 2003; accepted 20 October 2003

Abstract—Carroll rearrangement of β-keto esters derived from tertiary allylic alcohols, for example, 7, under basic conditions followed by decarboxylation of the resulting β-keto acids yielded the expected γ,δ-unsaturated methyl ketones 8 with a range of olefin geometries from 100:0 to 1:1.8 E/Z, depending on the relative steric requirements of the two groups at the allylic center.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

For a proposed synthesis of several biologically active polycyclic triterpene natural products, we investigated the use of the Carroll rearrangement in order to achieve the desired olefin geometry in the 7-substituted 6-methyl-5-hepten-7-one subunit (Scheme 1). In particular, we hoped that the steric difference between a silyloxymethyl and a methyl group in an intermediate such as 4 would afford predominantly the E-olefin geometry in the Claisen rearrangement product 3a. Likewise, we hoped for a similar selectivity in the rearrangement of 2 to give 1.

We report herein the results of the Carroll rearrangement of β-keto esters of a series of tertiary allylic alcohols with alkyl groups of differing sizes. Although the yields of the rearrangement process are low, we have demonstrated the ability to access both geometries with varying functionality on the olefins.

Preparation of the substrates 7a-e for the Carroll rearrangement was straightforward (Scheme 2).2 The two silylox derivatives 5ab were obtained in good yield from reaction of either TBSOTf or TIPSOTf with commercially available acetol and triethylamine or pyridine. Subsequent treatment with vinylmagnesium...
but immediately refluxed in CCl₄ to effect decarboxylation in good crude yields. These were generally not purified Carroll rearrangement products, the Treatment of the substrates acetoacetates diketene and catalytic DMAP in ether to afford the vinyl group via ment takes place predominantly on the unsubstituted The dimethyl acetal of 6c was hydrolyzed during the purification to give the aldehyde 3e for further analysis. The acid sensitivity of 3c-e made column chromatography partially responsible for the low yields, even on silica gel columns deactivated with triethylamine. The olefin geometries of 3a-e were determined by assignment of the cross peaks in the NOESY spectra. The rearrangement of the bis-vinyl substrate 7d produced intriguing results, with a mixture of four compounds being isolated (Scheme 3). Careful proton NMR analysis with significant use of difference NOE allowed us to assign the mixture as shown below, namely: 3dE:3d′E:3dZ:3d′Z 5.7:3.0:1.9:1.0. Thus, the rearrangement takes place predominantly on the unsubstituted vinyl group via A, rather than via B, presumably due to steric hindrance, although the selectivity (roughly 2:1) is less than might have been anticipated. The E/Z ratio was 3:1 in each case.

The stereochemistry resulting from the cyclizations was in accordance with the anticipated steric differences in all but one case. For the substrates 7a-c, an E alkene was expected due to the size difference between a methyl substituent and the R group. Bulky R groups would exhibit a preference for the equatorial position in the transition state, for example, C to give E, in order to avoid the pseudo 1,3-diaxial interactions that would result from an axial arrangement as in D, which would give F (Scheme 4). We see the size of the steric differences of the diaxial interactions reflected in the olefin ratios, with the most sterically demanding R group, the dimethoxymethyl group 7e, having the highest E/Z selectivity (essentially only one isomer corresponding to Table 1. Formation and Carroll rearrangement of β-keto esters 7a–e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>E/Z ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₂OTBS 6a</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₂OTIPS 6b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(OMe)₂ 6c</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7c</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH=CMc₃ 6d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH=CH 6e</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7e</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Acidification of the aqueous layer to retrieve acid 8c, even to a pH of 5, caused the dimethyl acetal to be completely converted to the corresponding aldehyde.

b The reported yield is for the inseparable mixture of products where both the dimethylvinyl and vinyl substituents participated in the rearrangement. See text.

c See text for discussion.

bromide in THF provided 6ab in 70% and 100% yields, respectively. The dimethyl acetal derivative 6c was obtained from reaction of vinylimagnesium bromide and pyruvaldehyde dimethoxy acetal 5c in THF in 99% yield. The dimethylvinyl derivative 6d was obtained from reaction of vinylimagnesium bromide with mesityl oxide 5d in THF in quantitative crude yield. Finally, the enyne substrate 6e was obtained from reaction of methyl vinyl ketone with ethynylmagnesium bromide in THF in good yield.

All of the tertiary alcohols 6a–e were treated with diketene and catalytic DMAP in ether to afford the acetoacetates 7a–e in good to excellent yields (Table 1). Treatment of the substrates 7a–e with LDA in THF at −78 °C with subsequent warming to 23 °C furnished the Carroll rearrangement products, the β-keto acids 8a–e, in good crude yields. These were generally not purified but immediately refluxed in CCl₄ to effect decarboxylation and give the ketones 3a–e in poor to good yield. The dimethyl acetal of 8c was hydrolyzed during the purification to give the aldehyde 3c, which was somewhat unstable and therefore was reduced to the primary alcohol 9c for further analysis. The acid sensitivity of 3c-e made column chromatography partially responsible for the low yields, even on silica gel columns deactivated with triethylamine. The olefin geometries of 3a-e were determined by assignment of the cross peaks in the NOESY spectra.
E was formed). The substrate with the smallest R group, the ethynyl substrate 7e, afforded the expected product 3e, namely the Z-alkene corresponding to F, since the methyl substituent is now the larger one and prefers the equatorial position, for example D. The only somewhat surprising case is the olefin 7d where both vinylic units prefer the equatorial position vs. the sterically slightly larger methyl group (A values: methyl, 1.74; vinyl, 1.49–1.68).3 The small difference in A values does not correspond well with the 3:1 ratio of E to Z products observed, which is in the opposite direction for a purely steric influence. Therefore we believe that an electronic effect must be responsible and suggest the following. If the bond breaking is fairly advanced in the transition state (which may be reasonable for a trimethyl-substituted pentadienyl acetoacetate), then the relative stability of the conformations of the nascent pentadienyl cations would play a role. Thus for the transition states leading to the products 3d, G and H, breaking the C–O bond to generate the enolate and the pentadienyl cation would be more favorable for G generating I since that forms the pentadienyl cation in its more stable W conformation whereas breaking the same bond in H to generate J would be less favorable since now the pentadienyl cation is formed in its less stable S conformation (Scheme 5). Streitweiser has calculated that the difference in energy favors the W conformation over the S conformation by about 3.7 kcal/mol with the U conformation being quite high in energy.4 Thus we suggest that the energy difference of the two conformations of the pentadienyl cations being partially formed in the transition state outweighs the very small difference in the steric size of the two groups and determines the stereochemistry of the product.

In summary, we have shown that the substrates 7a–e can be tuned to provide varying olefin geometries according to the steric demand of the substituents.5 By changing the size of the group at the olefinic carbon, one can change the selectivity from modestly Z-selective (1.8:1) to completely E-selective (100:1).
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References and Notes

5. The methyl ester derivative of 7 (R = CO2Me) was also prepared, but when the β-keto ester derived from it was subjected to treatment with LDA the corresponding tetronic acid was formed instead of the desired α,β-unsaturated ester. For a similar result, see Takaiwa et al.6 Similarly, the dithiane derivative of 7 [R = CH(SCH2)2CH2] did not provide the desired β-keto acid, 8 [R = CH(SCH2)2CH2], perhaps due to complications due to the presence of the relatively acidic dithiane proton.