STEREOSPECIFICITY IN ALKYLATION OF ANIONS OF KETONE DIMETHYLHYDRAZONES: KINETIC ANTI PREFERENCE, THERMODYNAMIC SYN PREFERENCE

Michael E. Jung and Teresa J. Shaw

Contribution No. 3840 from the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024

(Received in USA 7 June 1977; received in UK for publication 28 July 1977)

The high acidity of protons α to the carbonyl in ketones, aldehydes and their derivatives has made available a variety of stable carbanions which have been of immense value in organic synthesis. Lately studies in our laboratory and several others have shown that certain carbonyl derivatives exhibit total stereospecificity in deprotonation reactions.¹ The dianions of oximes, ^{labk} tosyl hydrazones, ^{lc} and allyl mercaptans, ^{lde} and the monoanions of oxime ethers, ^{lfg} allylic ethers, ^{lhi} and nitrosamines ^{ljk} all have shown a clean preference for formation in the <u>syn</u>-orientation. Most recently Corey^{2a} has reported similar <u>syn</u>-stereospecificity in the functionalization of anions of ketone dimethylhydrazones. This is in apparent contrast to an earlier publication from the same group^{2b} which reported clean stereospecificity toward the less substituted carbon in the alkylation of the dimethylhydrazones of methyl ketones. These recent publications prompt us to report our own results on the stereospecificity in alkylation of anions of ketone dimethylhydrazones.

Treatment of acetone dimethylhydrazone <u>1</u> with one equivalent of lithium diethylamide in diethyl ether at 0°C for 1.5 hr resulted in the formation of <u>exclusively</u> the <u>syn</u> anion <u>2</u>. Treatment with methyl iodide at 0°C for 1.5 hr and workup in the cold³ afforded only the <u>syn</u> 2-butanone dimethylhydrazone <u>3a</u> in 80% yield.⁴ The NMR spectrum of <u>3a</u> in benzene was identical to that reported by Karabatsos for this compound, which could be prepared only as the minor isomer (18%) of the <u>synanti</u> mixture from the reaction of dimethylhydrazine and 2-butanone.⁵ Substitution of ethyl iodide, benzyl bromide, or benzophenone for methyl iodide in the reaction with <u>2</u> also affords exclusively the <u>syn</u> alkylated products <u>3b-d</u> in good yields.⁴ The assignment of the <u>syn</u> geometry was based on the chemical shift of the allylic methylene protons in the ¹H NMR spectra of these compounds <u>3b-d</u>.⁶ In all cases this chemical shift is about 0.2 - 0.4& downfield from the chemical shift of these



protons in the <u>anti</u> isomers <u>4a-d</u>. All of the syn isomers <u>3a-d</u> rearrange on standing to an equilibrium mixture which consists predominately of the more stable <u>anti</u> isomers <u>4a-d</u>. This same equilibrium mixture is also available via direct dimethylhydrazone formation from the corresponding ketones.

 $\frac{5}{7b} = \frac{6}{7b} = \frac{7a}{7b} = \frac{7a}{$

geometry of all of these alkylation products, 7ab and 10ab, was again assigned by NMR measurements.⁶



In an attempt to extend this stereospecific alkylation procedure to the preparation of a secondary <u>syn</u> carbanion in preference to a primary <u>anti</u> carbanion, which occurs in the case of oxime dianions, ^{la} <u>syn</u> 2-butanone dimethylhydrazone <u>3a</u> was treated with lithium diethylamide in ether at 0°C followed by alkylation. Methylation afforded 3-pentanone dimethylhydrazone <u>8</u> while the use of benzyl bromide as the alkylating agent produced cleanly <u>syn</u> 1-phenyl-3-pentanone dimethyl-hydrazone <u>13</u>.⁴ These results imply that the following mechanistic pathway is occurring: formation of the anti anion <u>11</u>, facile and complete rearrangement of this anion to the <u>syn</u> isomer <u>12</u> by



isomerization at nitrogen, and alkylation of <u>12</u> to afford <u>8</u> and <u>13</u>. The same products <u>8</u> and <u>13</u> could be formed starting with either pure isomer, <u>3a</u> or <u>4a</u>, or from the equilibrium mixture of the two. Thus one always obtains the primary carbanion in preference to the secondary one, independent of the hydrazone stereochemistry, as Corey has reported.^{2b}

The production of only the isomer with $Me_2N \underline{syn}$ to the new alkyl group implies a large thermodynamic preference for the <u>syn</u> anion <u>11</u> versus the <u>anti</u> one <u>12</u>.⁷ This is the first clear demonstration of a thermodynamic stereochemical preference in these systems rather than a kinetic one. The energy difference between <u>11</u> and <u>12</u> must be fairly large (\geq 3 kcal), and the barrier to isomerization fairly low, since none of the product resulting from alkylation of <u>11</u> can be seen by NMR.

In order to test the kinetic preference for deprotonation of the dimethylhydrazones of symmetrically substituted ketones, we prepared the <u>syn</u> trideuteromethyl derivative <u>14</u> by alkylation of <u>3a</u>.⁴ Anion formation and methylation of <u>14</u> afforded the <u>syn</u> isopropyl trideuteroethyl compound <u>17</u>,⁴ implying initial <u>anti</u> deprotonation to give <u>anti</u> anion <u>15</u>, rearrangement to the <u>syn</u> isomer <u>16</u>, and finally alkylation to produce <u>17</u>.⁸ Thus there is a clear kinetic preference for <u>anti</u> deprotonation in symmetrically substituted systems. This is not unexpected since the large steric bulk of the NMe₂ group should hinder deprotonation <u>syn</u> but not anti to it. The two alkyl groups of the



dimethylamino function seem to be necessary to cause this steric preference for kinetic <u>anti</u> deprotonation since oxime ethers and oxime anions are kinetically deprotonated <u>syn</u> to the oxygen. Part of the explanation for the observed thermodynamic preference for the <u>syn</u> anion probably lies in the attractive through-space non-bonded interaction which stabilizes the <u>syn</u> but not the <u>anti</u> anion.⁹ Further experiments must be performed to evaluate the importance of chelation of the lithium ion to the observed energy difference in this system.

References and Notes

- a) M. E. Jung, P. A. Blair, and J. A. Lowe, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1439 (1976); b) W. G. Kofron and M.-K. Yeh, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>41</u>, 439 (1976); c) R. H. Shapiro, M. F. Lipton, K. J. Kolonko, R. L. Buswell, and L. A. Capuano, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1811 (1975); d) K. H. Geiss, B. Seuring, R. Pieter, and D. Seebach, <u>Angew. Chem.</u>, <u>86</u>, 484 (1974); J. Hartmann, R. Muthukrishnan, and M. Schlosser, <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u>, <u>57</u>, 2261 (1974); f) T. A. Spencer and C. W. Leong, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 3889 (1975); g) R. R. Fraser and K. L. Dhawan, <u>Chem. Commun.</u>, 674 (1976); h) D. A. Evans, G. C. Andrews, and B. Buckwalter, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>96</u>, 5560 (1974); i) W. C. Still and T. L. Macdonald, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>96</u>, 5561 (1974); j) R. R. Fraser, T. B. Grindlev, and S. Passannanti, <u>Can. J. Chem.</u>, <u>53</u>, 2473 (1975); k) R. E. Lyle, J. E. Saavedra, G. G. Lyle, H. M. Fribush, J. L. Marshall, W. Lijinsky, and G. M. Singer, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 4431 (1976).
- 2. a) E. J. Corey and S. Knapp, ibid., 4687 (1976); b) E. J. Corey and D. Enders, ibid., 3 (1976).
- 3. In order to avoid <u>syn-anti</u> isomerization of the products, the temperature during the workup was kept as low as possible, i.e., washing with ice water, cold brine solution, drying over magnesium sulfate at 0°C, and finally evaporating the solvent at low pressures at 25°C.
- 4. Satisfactory spectral data (NMR, IR, MS) have been obtained for all new compounds reported.
- 5. G. J. Karabatsos and R. A. Taller, Tetrahedron, 24, 3923 (1968).
- 6. NMR of <u>3b</u>: δ(PhH) 2.38, s (6H); 2.35, t, J=7 (2H); 1.75, s (3H); 1.2-0.7, m (5H). NMR of <u>3c</u>: δ(PhH) 2.68, s (4H); 2.40, s (6H); 1.8, s (3H). NMR of <u>3c</u>: δ(CC14) 7.2, s (5H); 2.72, s (4H); 2.3, s (6H); 1.85, s (3H). NMR of <u>3d</u>: δ(CC14) 7.5-7.0, m (10H); 6.1, br s (1H); 3.15, s (2H); 2.4, s (6H); 1.35, s (3H). NMR of <u>7a</u>: δ(PhH) 3.7, m, J=7 (1H); 2.4, s, (6H); 2.2-1.9, m (2H); 1.6-1.2, m, (6H); 0.98, d, J=7 (3H). NMR of <u>7b</u>: δ(PhH) 3.3, m, J=6 (1H); 2.7-2.5, m (2H); 2.3, s (6H), 1.7-0.8, m (8H). NMR of <u>7b</u>: δ(CC1₄) 7.2, s (5H); 4.0-3.6, m, J=7 (1H); 2.75, d, J=7 (2H); 2.2, s (6H); 1.9-1.0, m (8H). NMR of <u>10a</u>: δ(PhH) 3.8, m, J=6 (1H); 2.4, s (6H); 2.1, q, J=7 (2H); 1.2, t, J=7 (3H); 0.9, d, J=7 (6H). NMR of <u>10b</u>: δ(CC1₄) 7.1, s (5H); 3.9, p, J=7 (1H); 2.6, m (2H); 2.2, s (6H); 2.15, q, J=7 (2H); 1.05, t, J=7 (3H); 0.95, d, J=7 (3H). NMR of <u>13</u>: δ(PhH) 2.65, s (4H); 2.35, s (6H); 2.1, q, J=7 (2H); 1.05, t, J=7 (3H). NMR of <u>13</u>: δ(CC1₄) 7.2, s (5H); 2.65, br s (4H); 2.25, s (6H); 2.20, q, J=6 (2H); 1.05, t, J=6 (3H). NMR of <u>14</u>: δ(PhH) 2.4, s (6H); 2.35, m (2H); 2.05, q, J=7 (2H); 1.05, t, J=7 (3H). NMR of <u>17</u>: δ(PhH) 3.8, m, J=7 (1H); 2.4, s (6H); 2.0, m (2H); 0.9, d, J=7 (6H).
- 7. The alternative explanation for the complete formation of the <u>syn</u> alkylated product -- a much faster rate of alkylation of the <u>syn</u> anion <u>12</u> than of the <u>anti</u> anion <u>11</u> -- seems unlikely here since the transition state for alkylation of <u>12</u> is likely to be more hindered than that for <u>11</u>.
- 8. The secondary deuterium isotope effects in this system can not be ignored. However, they are unlikely to be very large and thus should not be very important in initial anion formation.
- For a more detailed explanation of this argument see reference la and N. D. Epiotis, <u>J. Am</u>. <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>95</u>, 3087 (1973).